

Справка за цитирания

на доц. д-р Стоян Недков

представени за участие в конкурс за академичната длъжност „Професор“, по професионално направление 4.4. Науки за Земята, научна специалност „Физическа география, ландшафтознание и ГИС“, обявен в ДВ, бр. 21 от 13.03.2020, за нуждите на департамент География

Извадка от системата SONIX на БАН

A 1.2.1. Цитати в WoS или Scopus

- Звено:** (НИГГГ) Национален институт по геофизика, геодезия и география
- Секция:** (НИГГГ) ГИС
- Име:** (НИГГГ/0002) Недков, Стоян Цветанов
- Вид на цитиращото издание:** Публикация в Scopus/WoS
- Година:** 2014 ÷ 2020
- Тип записи:** Всички записи

Брой цитирани публикации: 19

Брой цитиращи източници: 558

2006

- Nedkov, S., Nikolova, M.. Modeling floods hazard in Yantra river basin. CD Proceedings from BALWOIS Conference. Ohrid, May 23–26, 2006

Цитира се в:

- Anthony Campbell, Soni M. Pradhanang, Soroush Kouhi Anbaran, Joshua Sargent, Zachary Palmer & Michael Audette (2018) 1.000 Assessing the impact of urbanization on flood risk and severity for the Pawtuxet watershed, Rhode Island, Lake and Reservoir Management, 34:1, 74-87, DOI: 10.1080/10402381.2017.1390016, @2018 [Линк](#)

2008

- Vatseva, R., S. Nedkov, M. Nikolova, Ts. Kotsev. Modelling land cover changes for flood hazard assessment using Remote Sensing Data. Car et al. (Eds.), Geospatial Crossroads@GI_Forum'08., Wichmann, Heidelberg, Germany., 2008, ISBN:2308-1708, 262-267

Цитира се в:

- Tajbakhsh, S.M.; Memarian, H.; Sobhani, M.; Aghakhani Afshar, A.H., (2018). Kinematic runoff and erosion model efficiency 1.000 assessment for hydrological simulation of semi-arid watersheds. Global. J. Environ. Sci. Manage., 4(2): 127-140, @2018

2009

- Nedkov, S.. Analyzing spatial dimensions of ecosystem services and their valuation using GIS: A case study in Smolyan municipality. Проблеми на географията, 4, 2009, 53-61

Цитира се в:

- Assenov, A. et al. 2016. ECOSYSTEM/LANDSCAPE SERVICES PROVIDED BY UMBROSOLS (UM) IN SELECTED 1.000 MOUNTAINOUS MUNICIPALITIES OF SOFIA DISTRICT. Proceedings of the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Tome 69, No 3., @2016 [Линк](#)

4. Crossman, N., Burkhard, B., **Nedkov, S.**. Quantifying and mapping ecosystem services. International Journal of Biodiversity Science, Ecosystem Services & Management, 8, Taylor & Francis, 2012, ISSN:ISSN 2151-3732, 1-4

Цитира се в:

4. Vargas, L., Willemen, L. & Hein, L. Assessing the Capacity of Ecosystems to Supply Ecosystem Services Using Remote Sensing and An Ecosystem Accounting Approach Environmental Management (2018)., @2018 [Линк](#)
5. Faber, et al 2018. Priorities and opportunities in the application of the ecosystem services concept in risk assessment for chemicals in the environment. Science of The Total Environment Volume 651, Part 1, 15 February 2019, Pages 1067-1077, @2018 [Линк](#)
6. Inkoom et al. 2018. A framework to assess landscape structural capacity to provide regulating ecosystem services in West Africa. Journal of Environmental Management Volume 209, 1 March 2018, Pages 393-408, @2018 [Линк](#)

5. **Nedkov, S.**, Burkhard, B.. Flood regulating ecosystem services - Mapping supply and demand, in the Etropole municipality, Bulgaria. Ecological Indicators, 12, Elsevier, 2012, ISSN:ISSN: 1470-160X, DOI:doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2011.06.022, 67-79. SJR:1.49, ISI IF:3.19

Цитира се в:

7. Barth et al. 2016. Assessing the ecosystem service flood protection of a riparian forest by applying a cascade approach. Ecosystem Services Volume 21, Part A, October 2016, Pages 39–52, @2016 [Линк](#)
8. Giry, P. 2016. Construction d'un cadre d'analyse opérationnel des impacts des aides publiques sur les écosystèmes et la biodiversité. Application à la Nouvelle-Calédonie., @2016 [Линк](#)
9. Barbosa et al. 2016. Evolutionary social and biogeophysical changes in the Amazon, Ganges–Brahmaputra–Meghna and Mekong deltas. Special Feature: Original Article Sustainable Deltas: Livelihoods, Ecosystem Services, And Policy Implications Sustainability Science July 2016, Volume 11, Issue 4, pp 555-574, @2016 [Линк](#)
10. Bellu et al. 2016. A framework model for the dimensioning and allocation of a detention basin system: The case of a flood-prone mountainous watershed. Journal of Hydrology Volume 533, February 2016, Pages 567–580, @2016 [Линк](#)
11. Clasen et al. 2016. Economic and ecological trade-offs of agricultural specialization at different spatial scales. Ecological Economics Volume 122, February 2016, Pages 111–120, @2016 [Линк](#)
12. Bruins et al. Using ecological production functions to link ecological processes to ecosystem services. Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management, 2017., @2017 [Линк](#)
13. Vavra, J. et al. Local perception of floods in the Czech Republic and recent changes in state flood management strategies. Journal of Flood Risk Management, 2017., @2017 [Линк](#)
14. Pappalardo et al. The potential of green infrastructure application in urban runoff control for land use planning: A preliminary evaluation from a southern Italy case study. Ecosystem Services Volume 26, Part B, August 2017, @2017 [Линк](#)
15. Yu, D. et al. Establishment of a comprehensive indicator system for the assessment of biodiversity and ecosystem services. Landscape Ecology August 2017, @2017 [Линк](#)
16. Coldenberg, R. Distinction, quantification and mapping of potential and realized supply-demand of flow-dependent ecosystem services. Science of The Total Environment Volumes 593–594, @2017 [Линк](#)
17. Pickard, et al. Forecasts of urbanization scenarios reveal trade-offs between landscape change and ecosystem services. Landscape Ecology March 2017, Volume 32, Issue 3, @2017 [Линк](#)
18. Malek, Z. et al. Forest management and future changes to ecosystem services in the Romanian Carpathians. Environment, Development and Sustainability, @2017 [Линк](#)
19. Wei, H. et al. Integrating supply and social demand in ecosystem services assessment: A review. Ecosystem Services Volume 25, June 2017, @2017 [Линк](#)
20. Syrbe, R. Grunewald, K. Ecosystem service supply and demand – the challenge to balance spatial mismatches. International Journal of Biodiversity Science, Ecosystem Services & Management Volume 13, 2017, @2017 [Линк](#)
21. Xiao, Y. Identifying the Areas Benefitting from the Prevention of Wind Erosion by the Key Ecological Function Area for the Protection of Desertification in Hunshandake, China. Sustainability 2017, @2017 [Линк](#)
22. Brody, S. et al. Evaluating the effects of open space configurations in reducing flood damage along the Gulf of Mexico coast. Landscape and Urban Planning Volume 167, November 2017, @2017 [Линк](#)
23. Burghila, C. et al. MAIN FRAMEWORK AND INDICATORS USED IN MAPPING AND ASSESSMENT OF ECOSYSTEM SERVICES FOR THE EU BIODIVERSITY STRATEGY UP TO 2020. Scientific Papers. Series E. Land Reclamation, Earth Observation & Surveying, Environmental Engineering. Vol. VI, 2017, @2017 [Линк](#)
24. Liang, Y. and Liu, L. An integrated ecosystem service assessment in an artificial desert oasis of northwestern China. Journal of Land Use Science Volume 12, 2017, @2017 [Линк](#)
25. Looy, K. et al. Analyzing riparian zone ecosystem services bundles to instruct river management. International Journal of Biodiversity Science, Ecosystem Services & Management Volume 13, 2017, @2017 [Линк](#)

26. Qiu, J. et al. Spatial fit between water quality policies and hydrologic ecosystem services in an urbanizing agricultural landscape. **1.000** Landscape Ecology January 2017, Volume 32, Issue 1, [@2017](#)
27. Bukvareva, E. Supplied, demanded and consumed ecosystem services: Prospects for national assessment in Russia. Ecological **1.000** Indicators Volume 78, July 2017, [@2017](#) [Линк](#)
28. Hong, H. et al. Flood susceptibility assessment in Hengfeng area coupling adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system with genetic **1.000** algorithm and differential evolution. Science of The Total Environment Available online 1 November 2017, [@2017](#) [Линк](#)
29. García-Llamas, P., Geijzendorffer, I.R., García-Nieto, A.P. et al. Impact of land cover change on ecosystem service supply in **1.000** mountain systems: a case study in the Cantabrian Mountains (NW of Spain) Reg Environ Change (2018), [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
30. Bryan et al. 2018. Land-use change impacts on ecosystem services value: Incorporating the scarcity effects of supply and demand **1.000** dynamics. Ecosystem Services Volume 32, Part A, August 2018, Pages 144-157, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
31. Cole et al. 2018. Acceleration and fragmentation of CORINE land cover changes in the United Kingdom from 2006–2012 detected by **1.000** Copernicus IMAGE2012 satellite data. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation Volume 73, December 2018, Pages 107-122, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
32. Deng and Xu 2018. Degrading flood regulation function of river systems in the urbanization process. Science of The Total **1.000** Environment Volumes 622–623, 1 May 2018, Pages 1379-1390, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
33. Sutherland et al. 2018. Undervalued and under pressure: A plea for greater attention toward regulating ecosystem services. **1.000** Ecological Indicators Volume 94, Part 2, November 2018, Pages 23-32, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
34. Huq et al. 2018. Interactions between freshwater ecosystem services and land cover changes in southern Bangladesh: A perspective **1.000** from short-term (seasonal) and long-term (1973–2014) scale. Science of The Total Environment Volume 650, Part 1, 10 February 2019, Pages 132-143, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
35. Wang et al. 2018. Spatio-temporal variations of the flood mitigation service of ecosystem under different climate scenarios in the **1.000** Upper Reaches of Hanjiang River Basin, China. Journal of Geographical Sciences October 2018, Volume 28, Issue 10, pp 1385–1398, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
36. Tao et al. 2018. A land-cover-based approach to assessing ecosystem services supply and demand dynamics in the rapidly **1.000** urbanizing Yangtze River Delta region. Land Use Policy Volume 72, March 2018, Pages 250-258, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
37. Wu et al. 2018. Ecosystem health assessment of Dongshan Island based on its ability to provide ecological services that regulate **1.000** heavy rainfall. Ecological Indicators Volume 84, January 2018, Pages 393-403, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
38. Rova et al. 2018. Ecosystem services' mapping in data-poor coastal areas: Which are the monitoring priorities? Ocean & Coastal **1.000** Management Volume 153, 1 March 2018, Pages 168-175, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
39. Koopman et al. 2018. Quantifying biomass production for assessing ecosystem services of riverine landscapes. Science of The Total **1.000** Environment Volume 624, 15 May 2018, Pages 1577-1585, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
40. Serrao-Neumann et al. 2018. Improving Regional Landscapes Management to Support Climate Change Adaptation. Climate Change **1.000** Adaptation in Latin America pp 131-144, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
41. Marango et al. 2018. Fine-scale analysis of urban flooding reduction from green infrastructure: An ecosystem services approach for **1.000** the management of water flows. Ecological Modelling Volume 386, 24 October 2018, Pages 1-10, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
42. Malek, Ž., Zumpano, V. & Hussin, H. Environ Dev Sustain (2018) 20: 1275., [@2018](#) [Линк](#) **1.000**
43. Sanches-Porras et al. 2018. Evaluation of the Potential Change to the Ecosystem Service Provision Due to Industrialization. **1.000** Sustainability 2018, 10(9), 3355; <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10093355>, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
44. Chapter 12 - Mapping and Modeling Ecosystem Services in Petroleum-Producing Areas in Nigeria. The Political Ecology of Oil and **1.000** Gas Activities in the Nigerian Aquatic Ecosystem 2018, Pages 159-175, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
45. Amini Parsa, V. et al. 2019. An improved method for assessing mismatches between supply and demand in urban regulating **1.000** ecosystem services: A case study in Tabriz, Iran. PLoS ONE 14 (8): e0220750., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
46. Wang, L. et al. 2019. Ecosystem service synergies/trade-offs informing the supply-demand match of ecosystem services: Framework **1.000** and application. Ecosystem Services, Volume 37, June 2019, 100939., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
47. Pickard, B., Meentemeyer, R. 2019. Validating land change models based on configuration disagreement. Computers, Environment **1.000** and Urban Systems, Volume 77, September 2019, 101366., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
48. Pathak, S. et al. 2019. Evaluating hotspots for stormwater harvesting through participatory sensing. Journal of Environmental **1.000** Management, Volume 242, 15 July 2019, Pages 351-361., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
49. Xu, J. et al. 2019. Ecosystem Service Flow Insights into Horizontal Ecological Compensation Standards for Water Resource: A Case **1.000** Study in Dongjiang Lake Basin, China. Chinese Geographical Science (2019) 29: 214., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
50. Bukvareva, E. et al. 2019. Ecosystem Services of Russian Landscapes. In: Mueller L., Eulenstein F. (eds) Current Trends in **1.000** Landscape Research. Innovations in Landscape Research. Springer, Cham., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
51. Maebe, L. et al. 2019. The critical role of abiotic factors and human activities in the supply of ecosystem services in the ES matrix. **1.000** One Ecosystem 4: e34769., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
52. Sylla, M., Solecka, I. 2019. Highly valued agricultural landscapes and their ecosystem services in the urban-rural fringe – an **1.000** integrated approach. Journal of Environmental Planning and Management., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
53. Liu, L. et al. 2019. "Supply and demand matching of ecosystem services in loess hilly region: A case study of Lanzhou". Acta **1.000** Geographica Sinica, Vol. 74, Issue (9): 1921-1937., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)

54. Kienast, F. et al. 2019. Ecosystem Services Under Pressure. In: von Haaren C., Lovett A., Albert C. (eds) Landscape Planning with 1.000 Ecosystem Services. Landscape Series, vol 24. Springer, Dordrecht., @2019 [Линк](#)
55. Gunnell, K. et al. 2019. Evaluating natural infrastructure for flood management within the watersheds of selected global cities. 1.000 Science of The Total Environment, Volume 670, 20 June 2019, Pages 411-424., @2019 [Линк](#)
56. Li, P. et al. 2019. Evaluating flood regulation ecosystem services under climate, vegetation and reservoir influences. Ecological Indicators, Volume 107, December 2019, 105642., @2019 [Линк](#)
57. Schirpke, U. et al. 2019. A transnational perspective of global and regional ecosystem service flows from and to mountain regions. 1.000 Scientific Reports 9, 6678., @2019 [Линк](#)
58. García-Llamas, P. et al. 2019. Impact of land cover change on ecosystem service supply in mountain systems: a case study in the 1.000 Cantabrian Mountains (NW of Spain). Regional Environmental Change (2019) 19: 529-542., @2019 [Линк](#)
59. Walz, U. et al. 2019. Indicators on the ecosystem service "regulation service of floodplains". Ecological Indicators, Volume 102, July 1.000 2019, Pages 547-556., @2019 [Линк](#)
60. Barral, M. et al. 2019. Flood mitigation ecosystem service in landscapes of Argentina's Pampas: identifying winning and losing 1.000 farmers. Journal of Environmental Management Volume 240, 15 June 2019, Pages 168-176., @2019 [Линк](#)
61. Roche, P., Campagne, C. 2019. Are expert-based ecosystem services scores related to biophysical quantitative estimates? 1.000 Ecological Indicators, Volume 106, November 2019, 105421., @2019 [Линк](#)
62. Koellner, T. et al. 2019. Guidance for assessing interregional ecosystem service flows. Ecological Indicators, Volume 105, October 1.000 2019, Pages 92-106., @2019 [Линк](#)
63. Ala-Hulkko, T. et al. 2019. Mapping supply and demand of a provisioning ecosystem service across Europe. Ecological Indicators, 1.000 Volume 103, August 2019, Pages 520-529., @2019 [Линк](#)
64. Shen, J. et a. 2019. Mapping the city-scale supply and demand of ecosystem flood regulation services—A case study in Shanghai. 1.000 Ecological Indicators, Volume 106, November 2019, 105544., @2019 [Линк](#)
65. Cortinovis, C., Geneletti, D. 2019. A framework to explore the effects of urban planning decisions on regulating ecosystem services in 1.000 cities. Ecosystem Services, Vol. 38, 100946., @2019 [Линк](#)
66. Wang, J. et al. 2019. Spatial imbalance and changes in supply and demand of ecosystem services in China. Science of The Total 1.000 Environment, Volume 657, 20 March 2019, Pages 781-791., @2019 [Линк](#)
67. Ma, S. et al. 2019. "Who is Vulnerable" to Ecosystem Service Change? Reconciling Locally Disaggregated Ecosystem Service 1.000 Supply and Demand. Ecological Economics, Volume 157, March 2019, Pages 312-320., @2019 [Линк](#)
68. Zhang, S., Ramírez, F. 2019. Assessing and mapping ecosystem services to support urban green infrastructure: The case of 1.000 Barcelona, Spain. Cities, Volume 92, September 2019, Pages 59-70., @2019 [Линк](#)
69. Sun, X. et al. 2020. Spatiotemporal patterns and drivers of ecosystem service supply and demand across the conterminous United 1.000 States: A multiscale analysis. Science of The Total Environment, Volume 703, 10 February 2020, 135005., @2020 [Линк](#)
70. Guan, Q. et al. 2020. Ecological indexes for the analysis of the spatial-temporal characteristics of ecosystem service supply and 1.000 demand: A case study of the major grain-producing regions in Quzhou, China. Ecological Indicators, Volume 108, January 2020, 105748., @2020 [Линк](#)
6. Burkhard, B., Kroll, F., Nedkov, S., Muller, F.. Mapping supply, demand and budgets of ecosystem services. Ecological indicators, 12, Elsevier, 2012, ISSN:ISSN: 1470-160X, DOI:doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2011.06.019, 17-29. SJR:1.4, ISI IF:3.444

Цитира се в:

71. Chen, D. et al. Simulating and mapping the spatial and seasonal effects of future climate and land -use changes on ecosystem 1.000 services in the Yanhe watershed, China, @2017 [Линк](#)
72. Dikdang, J. and Muchpondwa, E. Local communities' valuation of environmental amenities around the Kgalagadi Transfrontier Park in 1.000 Southern Africa, @2017 [Линк](#)
73. Brown, I. et al. Managing Cities as Urban Ecosystems: Fundamentals and a Framework for Los Angeles, California, @2017 [Линк](#) 1.000
74. Dittrich, A. et al. Integrating ecosystem service bundles and socio-environmental conditions – A national scale analysis from 1.000 Germany, @2017 [Линк](#)
75. Le, J. et al. Incorporating ecosystem services into environmental management of deep-seabed mining, @2017 [Линк](#) 1.000
76. Campagne, C. et al. Expert-based ecosystem services capacity matrices: Dealing with scoring variability, @2017 [Линк](#) 1.000
77. Fan, M. et al. Assessing high impacts of climate change: spatial characteristics and relationships of hydrological ecosystem services 1.000 in northern Japan (Teshio River watershed), @2017 [Линк](#)
78. Baro, F. et al. Ecosystem service bundles along the urban-rural gradient: Insights for landscape planning and 1.000 management, @2017 [Линк](#)
79. Barnesa, F. and Henriques, C. Vegetation cover change in growing urban agglomerations in Chile, @2017 [Линк](#) 1.000
80. Burgi, M. et al. Integrated Landscape Approach: Closing the Gap between Theory and Application, @2017 [Линк](#) 1.000
81. Angelstam, P. et al. Tall herb sites as a guide for planning, maintenance and engineering of riparian continuous forest 1.000 cover, @2017 [Линк](#)

82. Blicharska, M. et al. Shades of grey challenge practical application of the cultural ecosystem services concept, @2017 [Линк](#) 1.000
83. Antognelli, S. and Vizzari, M. Landscape liveability spatial assessment integrating ecosystem and urban services with their perceived importance by stakeholders, @2017 [Линк](#) 1.000
84. Epancin, R. et al. Threatened protection: Sea level rise and coastal protected lands of the eastern United States, @2017 [Линк](#) 1.000
85. Le Clech, S. et al. From Field Data to Ecosystem Services Maps: Using Regressions for the Case of Deforested Areas Within the Amazon, @2017 [Линк](#) 1.000
86. Kukkala, A. and Moilanen, A. Ecosystem services and connectivity in spatial conservation prioritization, @2017 [Линк](#) 1.000
87. Brunner, S. et al. Mapping uncertainties in the future provision of ecosystem services in a mountain region in Switzerland, @2017 [Линк](#) 1.000
88. Fernandes-Campo, M. et al. Ecosystem services mapping for detection of bundles, synergies and trade-offs: Examples from two Norwegian municipalities, @2017 [Линк](#) 1.000
89. Haas, J. and Ban, Y. Sentinel-1A SAR and sentinel-2A MSI data fusion for urban ecosystem service mapping, @2017 [Линк](#) 1.000
90. Leimona, B. et al. Ecosystem services provisioning depends on landscape structure Photo by World Agroforestry Centre/Atiek Widayati, @2017 [Линк](#) 1.000
91. Archidiacono, A. et al. Environmental Performance and Social Inclusion: a Project for the Rocinha Favela in Rio de Janeiro, @2017 [Линк](#) 1.000
92. Karabulut, A. et al. A proposal for integration of the ecosystem-water-food-land-energy (EWFLE) nexus concept into life cycle assessment: A synthesis matrix system for food security, @2017 [Линк](#) 1.000
93. Brill, G. et al. Methodological and empirical considerations when assessing freshwater ecosystem service provision in a developing city context: Making the best of what we have, @2017 [Линк](#) 1.000
94. Geijzenhoffer, I. et al. Ecosystem services in global sustainability policies, @2017 [Линк](#) 1.000
95. Cannas, I. Zoppi, C. Ecosystem Services and the Natura 2000 Network: A Study Concerning a Green Infrastructure Based on Ecological Corridors in the Metropolitan City of Cagliari, @2017 [Линк](#) 1.000
96. Ahmad, R. et al. Seismic hazard assessment of Syria using seismicity, DEM, slope, active faults and GIS, @2017 [Линк](#) 1.000
97. Klingberg, J. et al. Mapping leaf area of urban greenery using aerial LiDAR and ground-based measurements in Gothenburg, Sweden, @2017 [Линк](#) 1.000
98. Afflek, A. Indicators of ecosystem potential for pollination and honey production, @2017 [Линк](#) 1.000
99. Goldenberg, R. et al. Distinction, quantification and mapping of potential and realized supply-demand of flow-dependent ecosystem services, @2017 [Линк](#) 1.000
100. Jacobs, S. et al. The means determine the end – Pursuing integrated valuation in practice. Ecosystem Services Available online 4 August 2017, @2017 [Линк](#) 1.000
101. Arbieu, et al. Large mammal diversity matters for wildlife tourism in Southern African Protected Areas: Insights for management, @2017 [Линк](#) 1.000
102. Cai, W. et al. Identifying hotspots and management of critical ecosystem services in rapidly urbanizing Yangtze River Delta Region, China, @2017 [Линк](#) 1.000
103. Harrison, P. et al. Selecting methods for ecosystem service assessment: A decision tree approach, @2017 [Линк](#) 1.000
104. Eigenbrot, F. et al. Spatial covariance of ecosystem services and poverty in China, @2017 [Линк](#) 1.000
105. Delibas, M. and Tezer, A. 'Stream Daylighting' as an approach for the renaturalization of riverine systems in urban areas: Istanbul-Ayamama Stream case, @2017 [Линк](#) 1.000
106. Vargas, L., Willemen, L. & Hein, L. Assessing the Capacity of Ecosystems to Supply Ecosystem Services Using Remote Sensing and An Ecosystem Accounting Approach Environmental Management (2018)., @2018 [Линк](#) 1.000
107. Braun et al. 2018. Spatio-temporal trends and trade-offs in ecosystem services: An Earth observation based assessment for Switzerland between 2004 and 2014. Ecological Indicators Volume 89, June 2018, Pages 828-839, @2018 [Линк](#) 1.000
108. Arbieu et al. 2018. Large mammal diversity matters for wildlife tourism in Southern African Protected Areas: Insights for management. Ecosystem Services Volume 31, Part C, June 2018, Pages 481-490, @2018 [Линк](#) 1.000
109. Norton et al. 2018. Identifying effective approaches for monitoring national natural capital for policy use. Ecosystem Services Volume 30, Part A, April 2018, Pages 98-106, @2018 [Линк](#) 1.000
110. Henriksson Malinga, R., G. P. W. Jewitt, R. Lindborg, E. Andersson, and L. J. Gordon. 2018. On the other side of the ditch: exploring contrasting ecosystem service coproduction between smallholder and commercial agriculture. Ecology and Society 23(4):9., @2018 [Линк](#) 1.000
111. Faber et al. 2018. Priorities and opportunities in the application of the ecosystem services concept in risk assessment for chemicals in the environment. Science of The Total Environment Volume 651, Part 1, 15 February 2019, Pages 1067-1077, @2018 [Линк](#) 1.000
112. ancini et al. 2018. Exploring ecosystem services assessment through Ecological Footprint accounting. Ecosystem Services Volume 30, Part B, April 2018, Pages 228-235, @2018 [Линк](#) 1.000
113. Garcia-Llamas, P., Geijzendorffer, I.R., Garcia-Nieto, A.P. et al. Impact of land cover change on ecosystem service supply in mountain systems: a case study in the Cantabrian Mountains (NW of Spain) Reg Environ Change (2018)., @2018 [Линк](#) 1.000

114. Jacobs et al. 2018. The means determine the end – Pursuing integrated valuation in practice. *Ecosystem Services* Volume 29, Part C, February 2018, Pages 515-528, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
115. Harrison et al. 2018. Selecting methods for ecosystem service assessment: A decision tree approach. *Ecosystem Services* Volume 29, Part C, February 2018, Pages 481-498, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
116. Graves, R.A., Pearson, S.M. & Turner, M.G. Effects of bird community dynamics on the seasonal distribution of cultural ecosystem services. *Ambio* (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-018-1068-1>, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
117. Noi and Kappas 2018. Comparison of Random Forest, k-Nearest Neighbor, and Support Vector Machine Classifiers for Land Cover Classification Using Sentinel-2 Imagery. *Sensors* 2018, 18(1), 18;, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
118. Le Clec'h, S., Jégou, N., Decaens, T. et al. From Field Data to Ecosystem Services Maps: Using Regressions for the Case of Deforested Areas Within the Amazon Ecosystems (2018) 21: 216. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-017-0145-9>, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
119. Schotle et al. 2018. Mapping recreation as an ecosystem service: Considering scale, interregional differences and the influence of physical attributes. *Landscape and Urban Planning* Volume 175, July 2018, Pages 149-160, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
120. Garcia-Nieto et al. 2018. Impacts of urbanization around Mediterranean cities: Changes in ecosystem service supply. *Ecological Indicators* Volume 91, August 2018, Pages 589-606, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
121. Maia de Sousa et al 2018. Ecosystem services in life cycle assessment: A synthesis of knowledge and recommendations for biofuels. *Ecosystem Services* Volume 30, Part B, April 2018, Pages 200-210, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
122. Quintas-Soriano et al. 2018. What has ecosystem service science achieved in Spanish drylands? Evidences of need for transdisciplinary science. *Journal of Arid Environments* Volume 159, December 2018, Pages 4-10, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
123. Littles et al. 2018. Linking people to coastal habitats: A meta-analysis of final ecosystem goods and services on the coast. *Ocean & Coastal Management* Volume 165, 1 November 2018, Pages 356-369, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
124. Schirpke et al. 2018. Revealing spatial and temporal patterns of outdoor recreation in the European Alps and their surroundings. *Ecosystem Services* Volume 31, Part C, June 2018, Pages 336-350, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
125. Castillo-Equuskitza et al. 2018. A comprehensive assessment of ecosystem services: Integrating supply, demand and interest in the Urdaibai Biosphere Reserve. *Ecological Indicators* Volume 93, October 2018, Pages 1176-1189, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
126. D'Amato et al. 2018. Where communities intermingle, diversity grows – The evolution of topics in ecosystem service research. *PLOS ONE*, , [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
127. Wei et al. Linking ecosystem services supply, social demand and human well-being in a typical mountain–oasis–desert area, Xinjiang, China. *Ecosystem Services* Volume 31, Part A, June 2018, Pages 44-57, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
128. Walz and Syrbe 2018. Landscape indicators – Monitoring of biodiversity and ecosystem services at landscape level. *Ecological Indicators* Volume 94, Part 2, November 2018, Pages 1-5, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
129. Uribe-Castaneda et al. 2018. Coral Reef Socio-Ecological Systems Analysis & Restoration. *Sustainability* 2018, 10(12), 4490;, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
130. McHale et al. 2018. Democratization of ecosystem services—a radical approach for assessing nature's benefits in the face of urbanization. *Ecosystem Health and Sustainability* Volume 4, 2018 - Issue 5, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
131. Kolosz et al. 2018. Conceptual advancement of socio-ecological modelling of ecosystem services for re-evaluating Brownfield land. *Ecosystem Services* Volume 33, Part A, October 2018, Pages 29-39, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
132. Roces-Díaz et al., 2018. Assessing the distribution of forest ecosystem services in a highly populated Mediterranean region. *Ecological Indicators* Volume 93, October 2018, Pages 986-997, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
133. Roces-Dias et al. 2018. The spatial level of analysis affects the patterns of forest ecosystem services supply and their relationships. *Science of The Total Environment* Volume 626, 1 June 2018, Pages 1270-1283, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
134. Martinez-Harms et al. 2018. Inequality in access to cultural ecosystem services from protected areas in the Chilean biodiversity hotspot. *Science of The Total Environment* Volume 636, 15 September 2018, Pages 1128-1138, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
135. Lai et al. 2018. Bridging the gap between ecosystem service indicators and ecosystem accounting in Finland. *Ecological Modelling* Volume 377, 10 June 2018, Pages 51-65, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
136. Kienast et al. 2018. Generating meaningful landscapes for globalized mobile societies: pushing an international research agenda. *Landscape Ecology* October 2018, Volume 33, Issue 10, pp 1669–1677, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
137. Nikodinoska et al. 2018. *Journal of Hydrology* Volume 566, November 2018, Pages 860-871. *Ecological Modelling* Volume 368, 24 January 2018, Pages 411-424, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
138. Liu et al. 2018. Quantifying the spatio-temporal drivers of planned vegetation restoration on ecosystem services at a regional scale. *Science of The Total Environment* Volume 650, Part 1, 10 February 2019, Pages 1029-1040, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
139. Quijas et al. 2018. Modelling carbon stock and carbon sequestration ecosystem services for policy design: a comprehensive approach using a dynamic vegetation model. *Ecosystems and People* Volume 15, 2019 - Issue 1, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
140. Chen et al. 2018. Quantifying ecosystem services supply and demand shortfalls and mismatches for management optimisation. *Science of The Total Environment* Volume 650, Part 1, 10 February 2019, Pages 1426-1439, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
141. Cortinovis and Geneletti 2018. Ecosystem services in urban plans: What is there, and what is still needed for better decisions. *Land Use Policy* Volume 70, January 2018, Pages 298-312, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
142. Lam et al. 2018. Ecosystem services in urban land use planning policies: A case study of Ontario municipalities. *Land Use Policy* 1.000

143. Inkoom et al. 2018. A framework to assess landscape structural capacity to provide regulating ecosystem services in West Africa. **1.000** Journal of Environmental Management Volume 209, 1 March 2018, Pages 393-408, @2018 [Линк](#)
144. Moran-Ordonez et al. 2018. The use of scenarios and models to evaluate the future of nature values and ecosystem services in **1.000** Mediterranean forests. Regional Environmental Change pp 1-14, @2018 [Линк](#)
145. Du et al. 2018. Comparison of ecosystem services provided by grasslands with different utilization patterns in China's Inner Mongolia **1.000** Autonomous Region. Journal of Geographical Sciences October 2018, Volume 28, Issue 10, pp 1399-1414, @2018 [Линк](#)
146. Ye et al. 2018. Impacts of rapid urbanization on ecosystem services along urban-rural gradients: a case study of the Guangzhou- **1.000** Foshan Metropolitan Area, South China. Écoscience Volume 25, 2018 - Issue 3, @2018 [Линк](#)
147. Paudyal et al 2018. Design considerations in supporting payments for ecosystem services from community-managed forests in **1.000** Nepal. Ecosystem Services Volume 30, Part A, April 2018, Pages 61-72, @2018 [Линк](#)
148. Langan et al. 2018. Tropical wetland ecosystem service assessments in East Africa; A review of approaches and challenges. **1.000** Environmental Modelling & Software Volume 102, April 2018, Pages 260-273, @2018 [Линк](#)
149. Giannetti et al. 2018. Human-nature nexuses in Brazil: Monitoring production of economic and ecosystem services in historical series. **1.000** Ecosystem Services Volume 30, Part B, April 2018, Pages 248-256, @2018 [Линк](#)
150. Nguyen et al. 2018. Forest governance and economic values of forest ecosystem services in Vietnam. Land Use Policy Available **1.000** online 19 June 2018, @2018 [Линк](#)
151. Bagstad et al. 2018. The sensitivity of ecosystem service models to choices of input data and spatial resolution. Applied Geography **1.000** Volume 93, April 2018, Pages 25-36, @2018 [Линк](#)
152. Pena et al. 2018. Analysing the Synergies and Trade-Offs between Ecosystem Services to Reorient Land Use Planning in **1.000** Metropolitan Bilbao (Northern Spain). Sustainability 2018, 10(12), 4376;, @2018 [Линк](#)
153. Schwilch et al. 2018. Assessing Impacts of Soil Management Measures on Ecosystem Services. Sustainability 2018, 10(12), **1.000** 4416;, @2018 [Линк](#)
154. Clemente et al. 2018. Combining social media photographs and species distribution models to map cultural ecosystem services: The **1.000** case of a Natural Park in Portugal. Ecological Indicators Volume 96, Part 1, January 2019, Pages 59-68, @2018 [Линк](#)
155. Luo et al. 2018. Half century change of interactions among ecosystem services driven by ecological restoration: Quantification and **1.000** policy implications at a watershed scale in the Chinese Loess Plateau. Science of The Total Environment Volume 651, Part 2, 15 February 2019, Pages 2546-2557, @2018 [Линк](#)
156. Rau et al. 2018. Temporal Dynamics of Ecosystem Services. Ecological Economics Volume 151, September 2018, Pages 122- **1.000** 130, @2018 [Линк](#)
157. Vinatier et al. 2018. Using high-resolution multitemporal imagery to highlight severe land management changes in Mediterranean **1.000** vineyards. Applied Geography Volume 90, January 2018, Pages 115-122, @2018 [Линк](#)
158. Sun et al. 2018. Urban expansion simulation and the spatio-temporal changes of ecosystem services, a case study in Atlanta **1.000** Metropolitan area, USA. Science of The Total Environment Volumes 622-623, 1 May 2018, Pages 974-987, @2018 [Линк](#)
159. Balzan et al. 2018. Assessing the capacity and flow of ecosystem services in multifunctional landscapes: Evidence of a rural-urban **1.000** gradient in a Mediterranean small island state. Land Use Policy Volume 75, June 2018, Pages 711-725, @2018 [Линк](#)
160. Syrbe et al. 2018. ndicators for a nationwide monitoring of ecosystem services in Germany exemplified by the mitigation of soil **1.000** erosion by water. Ecological Indicators Volume 94, Part 2, November 2018, Pages 46-54, @2018 [Линк](#)
161. Karabulut et al. 2018. A proposal for integration of the ecosystem-water-food-land-energy (EWFLE) nexus concept into life cycle **1.000** assessment: A synthesis matrix system for food security. Journal of Cleaner Production Volume 172, 20 January 2018, Pages 3874- **1.000** 3889, @2018 [Линк](#)
162. Feng et al. 2018. Assessment of human consumption of ecosystem services in China from 2000 to 2014 based on an ecosystem **1.000** service footprint model. Ecological Indicators Volume 94, Part 1, November 2018, Pages 468-481, @2018 [Линк](#)
163. Dobbs et al. 2018. Exploring temporal dynamics of urban ecosystem services in Latin America: The case of Bogota (Colombia) and **1.000** Santiago (Chile). Ecological Indicators Volume 85, February 2018, Pages 1068-1080, @2018 [Линк](#)
164. Dobbs et al. 2018. Exploring temporal dynamics of urban ecosystem services in Latin America: The case of Bogota (Colombia) and **1.000** Santiago (Chile). Ecological Indicators Volume 85, February 2018, Pages 1068-1080, @2018 [Линк](#)
165. Julian et al. 2018. University Students' Social Demand of a Blue Space and the Influence of Life Experiences. Sustainability 2018, **1.000** 10(9), 3178; <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10093178>, @2018 [Линк](#)
166. Kertesz et al. 2018. Effect of land use change on ecosystem services in Lake Balaton Catchment. Land Use Policy Volume 80, **1.000** January 2019, Pages 430-438, @2018 [Линк](#)
167. Alamgir et al. 2018. Spatial congruence and divergence between ecosystem services and biodiversity in a tropical forested **1.000** landscape. Ecological Indicators Volume 93, October 2018, Pages 173-182, @2018 [Линк](#)
168. Hummel et al. 2018. Protected Area management: Fusion and confusion with the ecosystem services approach. Science of The Total **1.000** Environment Volume 651, Part 2, 15 February 2019, Pages 2432-2443, @2018 [Линк](#)
169. Bilcharska and Hilding-Rydevic 2018. "A thousand flowers are flowering just now" – Towards integration of the ecosystem services **1.000** concept into decision making. Ecosystem Services Volume 30, Part A, April 2018, Pages 181-191, @2018 [Линк](#)

170. Lacher et al. 2018. Scale-dependent impacts of urban and agricultural land use on nutrients, sediment, and runoff. *Science of The Total Environment* Volume 652, 20 February 2019, Pages 611-622, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
171. Schirpke et al. 2018. Integrating supply, flow and demand to enhance the understanding of interactions among multiple ecosystem services. *Science of The Total Environment* Volume 651, Part 1, 15 February 2019, Pages 928-941, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
172. Mashizi et al. 2018. Exploring management objectives and ecosystem service trade-offs in a semi-arid rangeland basin in southeast Iran. *Ecological Indicators* Volume 98, March 2019, Pages 794-803, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
173. Nowak and Grunewald 2018. Landscape sustainability in terms of landscape services in rural areas: Exemplified with a case study area in Poland. *Ecological Indicators* Volume 94, Part 2, November 2018, Pages 12-22, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
174. Nowak and Grunewald 2018. Landscape sustainability in terms of landscape services in rural areas: Exemplified with a case study area in Poland. *Ecological Indicators* Volume 94, Part 2, November 2018, Pages 12-22, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
175. Ncube et al. 2018. Assessment of changes in ecosystem service delivery – a historical perspective on catchment landscapes. *International Journal of Biodiversity Science, Ecosystem Services & Management* Volume 14, 2018 - Issue 1, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
176. Antognelli et al. 2018. Integrating Ecosystem and Urban Services in Policy-Making at the Local Scale: The SOFA Framework. *Sustainability* 2018, 10(4), 1017, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
177. Caro et al. 2018. Use and usefulness of open source spatial databases for the assessment and management of European coastal and marine ecosystem services. *Ecological Indicators* Volume 95, Part 1, December 2018, Pages 41-52, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
178. Moseley et al. 2018. Developing an indicator for the physical health benefits of recreation in woodlands. *Ecosystem Services* Volume 31, Part C, June 2018, Pages 420-432, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
179. Guuroh et al. 2018. Drivers of forage provision and erosion control in West African savannas—A macroecological perspective. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* Volume 251, 1 January 2018, Pages 257-267, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
180. Sutherland et al. 2018. Undervalued and under pressure: A plea for greater attention toward regulating ecosystem services. *Ecological Indicators* Volume 94, Part 2, November 2018, Pages 23-32, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
181. Zhan et al. 2018. Incorporating ecosystem services into agricultural management based on land use/cover change in Northeastern China. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change Available online* 4 April 2018, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
182. Huq et al. 2018. Interactions between freshwater ecosystem services and land cover changes in southern Bangladesh: A perspective from short-term (seasonal) and long-term (1973–2014) scale. *Science of The Total Environment* Volume 650, Part 1, 10 February 2019, Pages 132-143, [@2018](#)
183. Gorn et al. 2018. Improving the Matrix-Assessment of Ecosystem Services Provision—The Case of Regional Land Use Planning under Climate Change in the Region of Halle, Germany. *Land* 2018, 7(2), 76, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
184. Wang et al. 2018. Spatio-temporal variations of the flood mitigation service of ecosystem under different climate scenarios in the Upper Reaches of Hanjiang River Basin, China. *Journal of Geographical Sciences* October 2018, Volume 28, Issue 10, pp 1385–1398, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
185. Fang et al. 2018. Ecological connectivity between land and sea: a review. *Download PDF Ecological Research* January 2018, Volume 33, Issue 1, pp 51–61, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
186. Berrouet et al. 2018. Vulnerability of socio—ecological systems: A conceptual Framework. *Ecological Indicators* Volume 84, January 2018, Pages 632-647, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
187. Wang et al. 2018. Spatial imbalance and changes in supply and demand of ecosystem services in China. *Science of The Total Environment* Volume 657, 20 March 2019, Pages 781-791, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
188. Harmon et al. 2018. Socioeconomic and Environmental Proxies for Comparing Freshwater Ecosystem Service Threats across International Sites: A Diagnostic Approach. *Water* 2018, 10(11), 1578, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
189. Zhao et al. 2018. Metacoupling supply and demand for soil conservation service. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability* Volume 33, August 2018, Pages 136-141, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
190. Inacio et al. 2018. Ecosystem services provision today and in the past: a comparative study in two Baltic lagoons. *Download PDF Ecological Research* November 2018, Volume 33, Issue 6, pp 1255–1274, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
191. Pullanikkatil et al. 2018. Unsustainable trade-offs: provisioning ecosystem services in rapidly changing Likangala River catchment in southern Malawi. *Environment, Development and Sustainability* pp 1–20, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
192. Tao et al. 2018. A land-cover-based approach to assessing ecosystem services supply and demand dynamics in the rapidly urbanizing Yangtze River Delta region. *Land Use Policy* Volume 72, March 2018, Pages 250-258, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
193. Spyra et al. 2018. Ecosystem services deficits in cross-boundary landscapes: spatial mismatches between green and grey systems. *Urban Ecosystems* pp 1–11, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
194. Dunfold et al. 2018. Integrating methods for ecosystem service assessment: Experiences from real world situations. *Ecosystem Services* Volume 29, Part C, February 2018, Pages 499-514, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
195. Wu et al. 2018. Quantification and driving force analysis of ecosystem services supply, demand and balance in China. *Science of The Total Environment* Volume 652, 20 February 2019, Pages 1375-1386, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
196. Anderson-Skold et al. 2018. A framework for assessing urban greenery's effects and valuing its ecosystem services. *Journal of Environmental Management* Volume 205, 1 January 2018, Pages 274-285, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
197. Gissi and Garramone 2018. Learning on ecosystem services co-production in decision-making from role-playing simulation: Comparative analysis from Southeast Europe. *Ecosystem Services* Volume 34, Part B, December 2018, Pages 228-

198. Wilkerson et al. 2018. The role of socio-economic factors in planning and managing urban ecosystem services. *Ecosystem Services* 1.000 Volume 31, Part A, June 2018, Pages 102-110, @2018 [Линк](#)
199. Yang et al. 2018. Integrated hydro-environmental impact assessment and alternative selection of low impact development practices in 1.000 small urban catchments. *Journal of Environmental Management.*, @2018 [Линк](#)
200. Bryan et al. 2018. Land-use change impacts on ecosystem services value: Incorporating the scarcity effects of supply and demand 1.000 dynamics. *Ecosystem Services* Volume 32, Part A, August 2018, Pages 144-157, @2018 [Линк](#)
201. Affek et al. 2018. Indicators of ecosystem potential for pollination and honey production. *Ecological Indicators* Volume 94, Part 2, 1.000 November 2018, Pages 33-45, @2018 [Линк](#)
202. Kokkoris et al. 2018. Ecosystem services supply in protected mountains of Greece: setting the baseline for conservation 1.000 management. *International Journal of Biodiversity Science, Ecosystem Services & Management* Volume 14, 2018 - Issue 1, @2018 [Линк](#)
203. Tan and Bi 2018. An inquiry into water transfer network of the Yangtze River Economic Belt in China. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 1.000 Volume 176, 1 March 2018, Pages 288-297, @2018 [Линк](#)
204. Fan et al. 2018. Assessing high impacts of climate change: spatial characteristics and relationships of hydrological ecosystem 1.000 services in northern Japan (Teshio River watershed). *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change* April 2018, Volume 23, Issue 4, pp 525-552, @2018 [Линк](#)
205. Domisch et al. 2018. Social equity shapes zone-selection: Balancing aquatic biodiversity conservation and ecosystem services 1.000 delivery in the transboundary Danube River Basin. *Science of The Total Environment* Volume 656, 15 March 2019, Pages 797-807, @2018 [Линк](#)
206. Vizzari et al. 2018. Urban-rural-natural gradient analysis with CORINE data: An application to the metropolitan France. *Landscape and Urban Planning* Volume 171, March 2018, Pages 18-29, @2018 [Линк](#)
207. Xu et al. 2018. How to Guarantee the Sustainability of the Wind Prevention and Sand Fixation Service: An Ecosystem Service Flow 1.000 Perspective. *Sustainability* 2018, 10(9), 2995; <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10092995>, @2018 [Линк](#)
208. Chen et al. 2018. Simulating and mapping the spatial and seasonal effects of future climate and land -use changes on ecosystem 1.000 services in the Yanhe watershed, China. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* January 2018, Volume 25, Issue 2, pp 1115-1131, @2018 [Линк](#)
209. Wu et al. 2018. Ecosystem health assessment of Dongshan Island based on its ability to provide ecological services that regulate 1.000 heavy rainfall. *Ecological Indicators* Volume 84, January 2018, Pages 393-403., @2018 [Линк](#)
210. Li et al. 2018. Carbon sequestration service flow in the Guanzhong-Tianshui economic region of China: How it flows, what drives it, 1.000 and where could be optimized? *Ecological Indicators* Volume 96, Part 1, January 2019, Pages 548-558, @2018 [Линк](#)
211. Rova et al. 2018. Ecosystem services' mapping in data-poor coastal areas: Which are the monitoring priorities? *Ocean & Coastal Management* Volume 153, 1 March 2018, Pages 168-175, @2018 [Линк](#)
212. Wozniak et al. 2018. From intrinsic to service potential: An approach to assess tourism landscape potential. *Landscape and Urban Planning* Volume 170, February 2018, Pages 209-220, @2018 [Линк](#)
213. Cao et al. 2018. Changes of Ecosystem Service Value in a Coastal Zone of Zhejiang Province, China, during Rapid Urbanization. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2018, 15(7), 1301, @2018 [Линк](#)
214. Wu. et al. 2018. Ecosystem health assessment of Dongshan Island based on its ability to provide ecological services that regulate 1.000 heavy rainfall. *Ecological Indicators* Volume 84, January 2018, Pages 393-403, @2018 [Линк](#)
215. Sum et al. 2018. Surplus or Deficit? Spatiotemporal Variations of the Supply, Demand, and Budget of Landscape Services and 1.000 Landscape Multifunctionality in Suburban Shanghai, China. *Sustainability* 2018, 10(10), 3752;, @2018 [Линк](#)
216. Lopes and Videira 2018. Bringing stakeholders together to articulate multiple value dimensions of ecosystem services. *Ocean & Coastal Management* Volume 165, 1 November 2018, Pages 215-224, @2018 [Линк](#)
217. Picchi, P. et al. 2019. Advancing the relationship between renewable energy and ecosystem services for landscape planning and 1.000 design: A literature review. *Ecosystem Services* Volume 35, February 2019, Pages 241-259., @2019 [Линк](#)
218. Lindner, J. et al. 2019. Valuing Biodiversity in Life Cycle Impact Assessment. *Sustainability* 2019, 11 (20), 5628., @2019 [Линк](#) 1.000
219. Zhang, S., Ramírez, F. 2019. Assessing and mapping ecosystem services to support urban green infrastructure: The case of 1.000 Barcelona, Spain. *Cities*, Volume 92, September 2019, Pages 59-70., @2019 [Линк](#)
220. Li, Q. et al. 2019. Multifactor-based environmental risk assessment for sustainable land-use planning in Shenzhen, China. *Science of The Total Environment*, Volume 657, 20 March 2019, Pages 1051-1063., @2019 [Линк](#)
221. Leitão, I. et al. 2019. Assessing long-term changes in potential ecosystem services of a peri-urbanizing Mediterranean catchment. 1.000 *Science of The Total Environment*, Volume 660, 10 April 2019, Pages 993-1003., @2019 [Линк](#)
222. Amini Parsa, V. et al. 2019. An improved method for assessing mismatches between supply and demand in urban regulating 1.000 ecosystem services: A case study in Tabriz, Iran. *PLoS ONE* 14 (8): e0220750., @2019 [Линк](#)
223. Galler C., Andersen P. 2019. Methods for Increasing Spatial and Cost Effectiveness of Measures Through Multifunctionality. In: von 1.000 Haaren C., Lovett A., Albert C. (eds) *Landscape Planning with Ecosystem Services*. *Landscape Series*, vol 24. Springer, Dordrecht., @2019 [Линк](#)
224. Wang, L. et al. 2019. Ecosystem service synergies/trade-offs informing the supply-demand match of ecosystem services: Framework 1.000

- and application. *Ecosystem Services*, Volume 37, June 2019, 100939., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
225. Charoenkit, S., Piyathamrongchai, K. 2019. A review of urban green spaces multifunctionality assessment: A way forward for a 1.000 standardized assessment and comparability. *Ecological Indicators*, Volume 107, December 2019, 105592., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
226. Pischke E. et al. 2019. Lessons Learned About Collaborating Across Coupled Natural-Human Systems Research on Mexico's 1.000 Payments for Hydrological Services Program. In: Perz S. (eds) *Collaboration Across Boundaries for Social-Ecological Systems Science*. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
227. Haberman, D., Bennett, E. 2019. Ecosystem service bundles in global hinterlands. *Environmental Research Letters*, Volume 14, 1.000 Number 8., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
228. Kertész, A. et al. 2019. Effect of land use change on ecosystem services in Lake Balaton Catchment. *Land Use Policy* Volume 80, 1.000 January 2019, Pages 430-438., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
229. Raymond, A. et al. 2019. Review of impact categories and environmental indicators for life cycle assessment of geotechnical 1.000 systems. *Journal of Industrial Ecology* 2019; 1-15., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
230. Delgado L. et al. 2019. Simplifying the Complexity of Social-ecological Systems with Conceptual Models. In: Delgado L., Marín V. 1.000 (eds) *Social-ecological Systems of Latin America: Complexities and Challenges*. Springer, Cham., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
231. Fernández, I. 2019. A multiple-class distance-decaying approach for mapping temperature reduction ecosystem services provided by 1.000 urban vegetation in Santiago de Chile. *Ecological Economics* Volume 161, July 2019, Pages 193-201., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
232. Luo, Y. et al. 2019. Half century change of interactions among ecosystem services driven by ecological restoration: Quantification and 1.000 policy implications at a watershed scale in the Chinese Loess Plateau. *Science of The Total Environment* Volume 651, Part 2, 15 February 2019, Pages 2546-2557., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
233. Bengtsson, J. et al. 2019. Grasslands—more important for ecosystem services than you might think. *Ecosphere* Volume 10, Issue 2, 1.000 February 2019, e02582., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
234. Mahlooji, M. et al. 2019. The importance of considering resource availability restrictions in energy planning: What is the footprint of 1.000 electricity generation in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA)? *Science of The Total Environment*, November 2019, 135035, [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
235. Depietri, Y. The social–ecological dimension of vulnerability and risk to natural hazards. *Sustainable Science* (2019): 1- 1.000 18., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
236. Ala-Hulkko, T. et al. 2019. Mapping supply and demand of a provisioning ecosystem service across Europe. *Ecological Indicators*, 1.000 Volume 103, August 2019, Pages 520-529., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
237. Cortinovis, C., Geneletti, D. 2019. A framework to explore the effects of urban planning decisions on regulating ecosystem services in 1.000 cities. *Ecosystem Services*. 38. 100946., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
238. Wei, W. et al. 2019. Regional-scale assessment of environmental vulnerability in an arid inland basin. *Ecological Indicators*, Volume 1.000 109, February 2020, 105792., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
239. Jaligot, R. et al. 2019. National assessment of cultural ecosystem services: Participatory mapping in Switzerland. *Ambio*, Volume 48, 1.000 Issue 10, pp 1219–1233., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
240. Delgado-Aguilar, M. et al. 2019. Combining remote sensing techniques and participatory mapping to understand the relations 1.000 between forest degradation and ecosystems services in a tropical rainforest. *Applied Geography* Volume 104, March 2019, Pages 65- 74., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
241. Geneletti, D. et al. 2019. Planning for Ecosystem Services in Cities. Part of the SpringerBriefs in Environmental Science book series. 1.000 Springer, Cham., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
242. Koo, H. et al. 2019. Impact assessment of land use changes using local knowledge for the provision of ecosystem services in 1.000 northern Ghana, West Africa. *Ecological Indicators*, Volume 103, August 2019, Pages 156-172., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
243. Wei, H. et al. 2019. Integrating Biophysical and Sociocultural Methods for Identifying the Relationships between Ecosystem Services 1.000 and Land Use Change: Insights from an Oasis Area. *Sustainability* 2019, 11 (9), 2598., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
244. Hua, J., Chen, W. 2019. Prioritizing urban rivers' ecosystem services: An importance-performance analysis. *Cities*, Volume 94, 1.000 November 2019, Pages 11-23., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
245. Amalu, T., Ajake, A. 2019. Developing natural lakes for socio-economic development: the case of Nike lake Enugu state, Nigeria. 1.000 *GeoJournal* (2019) 84: 519., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
246. Wolff, M., Haase, D. 2019. Mediating Sustainability and Liveability—Turning Points of Green Space Supply in European Cities. *Front. 1.000 Environ. Sci.* 7:61., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
247. Chen, W. et al. 2019. The spatial association of ecosystem services with land use and land cover change at the county level in China, 1.000 1995–2015. *Science of The Total Environment*, Volume 669, 15 June 2019, Pages 459-470., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
248. Juanita, A-D. et al. 2019. Assessing the effects of past and future land cover changes in ecosystem services, disservices and 1.000 biodiversity: A case study in Barranquilla Metropolitan Area (BMA), Colombia. *Ecosystem Services*, Volume 37, June 2019, 100915., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
249. Tavares, P. et al. 2019. Integration of Sentinel-1 and Sentinel-2 for Classification and LULC Mapping in the Urban Area of Belém, 1.000 Eastern Brazilian Amazon. *Sensors* 2019, 19 (5), 1140., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
250. Rani, S. et al. 2019. Economic valuation and conservation, restoration & management strategies of Saint Martin's coral island, 1.000 Bangladesh. *Ocean & Coastal Management*, 105024., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)

251. Augstburger, H. et al. 2019. Assessing Food Systems and Their Impact on Common Pool Resources and Resilience. *Land* 2019, 8 1.000 (4), 71., @2019 [Линк](#)
252. Zoderer, B. et al. 2019. An integrated method for the mapping of landscape preferences at the regional scale. *Ecological Indicators* 1.000 Volume 106, November 2019, 105430., @2019 [Линк](#)
253. He, S. et al. 2019. Assessing and mapping cultural ecosystem services supply, demand and flow of farmlands in the Hangzhou 1.000 metropolitan area, China. *Science of The Total Environment*, Volume 692, 20 November 2019, Pages 756-768., @2019 [Линк](#)
254. Bing, Z. et al. 2019. Study on the spatial relationship between landscape recreation service demand and urbanization –a case study 1.000 in Shanghai. *Applied Ecology and Environmental Research* 17 (4): 7535-7548., @2019 [Линк](#)
255. Xu, S., Liu, Y. 2019. Associations among ecosystem services from local perspectives. *Science of The Total Environment*, Volume 1.000 690, 10 November 2019, Pages 790-798., @2019 [Линк](#)
256. Li, G. et al. 2019. Developing interpretive structural modeling based on factor analysis for the water-energy-food nexus conundrum. 1.000 *Science of The Total Environment*, Volume 651, Part 1, 15 February 2019, Pages 309-322., @2019 [Линк](#)
257. Liu, J. et al. 2019. How to allocate interbasin water resources? A method based on water flow in water-deficient areas. *Environmental 1.000 Development* 2019, 100460., @2019 [Линк](#)
258. Barral, M. et al. 2019. Flood mitigation ecosystem service in landscapes of Argentina's Pampas: identifying winning and losing 1.000 farmers. *Journal of Environmental Management* Volume 240, 15 June 2019, Pages 168-176., @2019 [Линк](#)
259. Inácio, M. et al. 2019. Assessing Changes in Ecosystem Services Provision in Coastal Waters. *Sustainability* 2019, 11 (9), 1.000 2632., @2019 [Линк](#)
260. Clemente, P. et al. 2019. Combining social media photographs and species distribution models to map cultural ecosystem services: 1.000 The case of a Natural Park in Portugal. *Ecological Indicators* Volume 96, Part 1, January 2019, Pages 59-68., @2019 [Линк](#)
261. La Notte, A. et al. 2019. Beyond the economic boundaries to account for ecosystem services. *Ecosystem Services*, Volume 35, 1.000 February 2019, Pages 116-129., @2019 [Линк](#)
262. Bielecka, E., Jenerowicz, A. 2019. Intellectual Structure of CORINE Land Cover Research Applications in Web of Science: A Europe- 1.000 Wide Review. *Remote Sensing*. 2019, 11 (17), 2017., @2019 [Линк](#)
263. Zhao, Q. et al. 2019. Integrating supply and demand in cultural ecosystem services assessment: a case study of Cuihua Mountain 1.000 (China). *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* (2019) 26: 6065., @2019 [Линк](#)
264. Boone, L. et al. 2019. Environmental sustainability of conventional and organic farming: Accounting for ecosystem services in life 1.000 cycle assessment. *Science of The Total Environment* Volume 695, 10 December 2019, 133841., @2019 [Линк](#)
265. Sandric, I. et al. 2019. Integrating catchment land cover data to remotely assess freshwater quality: a step forward in heterogeneity 1.000 analysis of river networks. *Aquatic Sciences* (2019) 81: 26., @2019 [Линк](#)
266. Walters, D. et al. 2019. Wet-Rehabevaluate version 2: An Integrated Monitoring And Evaluation Framework to Assess Wetland 1.000 Rehabilitation In South Africa. WRC Report No. 2344/1/19., @2019 [Линк](#)
267. Miller, S., Montalto, F. 2019. Stakeholder perceptions of the ecosystem services provided by Green Infrastructure in New York City. 1.000 *Ecosystem Services*, Volume 37, June 2019, 100928., @2019 [Линк](#)
268. Hummel, C. et al. 2019. Protected Area management: Fusion and confusion with the ecosystem services approach. *Science of The 1.000 Total Environment*, Volume 651, Part 2, 15 February 2019, Pages 2432-2443., @2019 [Линк](#)
269. Xu, J. et al. 2019. Ecosystem Service Flow Insights into Horizontal Ecological Compensation Standards for Water Resource: A Case 1.000 Study in Dongjiang Lake Basin, China. *Chinese Geographical Science* (2019) 29: 214., @2019 [Линк](#)
270. Sun, B. et al. 2019. Assessment on Island Ecological Vulnerability to Urbanization: A Tale of Chongming Island, China. *Sustainability* 1.000 2019, 11 (9), 2536., @2019 [Линк](#)
271. Berrouet, L. et al. 2019. A social vulnerability index to changes in ecosystem services provision at local scale: A methodological 1.000 approach. *Environmental Science & Policy*, Volume 93, March 2019, Pages 158-171., @2019 [Линк](#)
272. Mugiraneza, T. et al. 2019. Urban land cover dynamics and their impact on ecosystem services in Kigali, Rwanda using multi- 1.000 temporal Landsat data. *Remote Sensing Applications: Society and Environment*, Volume 13, January 2019, Pages 234-246., @2019 [Линк](#)
273. Geneletti D. et al. 2020. Towards Equity in the Distribution of Ecosystem Services in Cities. In: *Planning for Ecosystem Services in 1.000 Cities*. SpringerBriefs in Environmental Science. Springer, Cham., @2019 [Линк](#)
274. Rusche, K. et al. 2019. Mapping and Assessing Green Infrastructure Connectivity in European City Regions. *Sustainability* 2019, 11 1.000 (6), 1819., @2019 [Линк](#)
275. Fan, M., Chen, Li. 2019. Spatial characteristics of land uses and ecological compensations based on payment for ecosystem services 1.000 model from 2000 to 2015 in Sichuan Province, China. *Ecological Informatics*, Volume 50, March 2019, Pages 162-183., @2019 [Линк](#)
276. Huang, X. et al. 2019. Monitoring ecosystem service change in the City of Shenzhen by the use of high-resolution remotely sensed 1.000 imagery and deep learning. *Land Degradation and Development* 2019; 30: 1490–1501., @2019 [Линк](#)
277. Zhan, J. et al. 2019. Incorporating ecosystem services into agricultural management based on land use/cover change in Northeastern 1.000 China. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, Volume 144, July 2019, Pages 401-411., @2019 [Линк](#)
278. Yu, M. et al. 2019. Response of agricultural multifunctionality to farmland loss under rapidly urbanizing processes in Yangtze River 1.000 Delta, China. *Science of The Total Environment*, Volume 666, 20 May 2019, Pages 1-11., @2019 [Линк](#)

279. Teixeira, H. et al. 2019. Linking biodiversity to ecosystem services supply: Patterns across aquatic ecosystems. *Science of The Total Environment*, Volume 657, 20 March 2019, Pages 517-534., [@2019 Линк](#)
280. Yuan, MH., et al. 2019. Embedding scarcity in urban water tariffs: mapping supply and demand in North Taiwan. *Environmental Earth Science* (2019) 78: 325., [@2019 Линк](#)
281. Benedetti, Y. et al. 2019. Spatial associations among avian diversity, regulating and provisioning ecosystem services in Italy. *Ecological Indicators*, Volume 108, January 2020, 105742., [@2019 Линк](#)
282. Spyra, M. et al. 2019. The ecosystem services concept: a new Esperanto to facilitate participatory planning processes? *Landscape Ecology* (2019) 34: 1715-1735., [@2019 Линк](#)
283. Zeng, Y. et al. 2019. The impact of secondary forest restoration on multiple ecosystem services and their trade-offs. *Ecological Indicators*, Volume 104, September 2019, Pages 248-258., [@2019 Линк](#)
284. Hale, R. et al. 2019. Cultural ecosystem services provided by rivers across diverse social-ecological landscapes: A social media analysis. *Ecological Indicators*, Volume 107, December 2019, 105580., [@2019 Линк](#)
285. Sun, W. et al. 2019. Exploring the scale effects, trade-offs and driving forces of the mismatch of ecosystem services. *Ecological Indicators* Volume 103, August 2019, Pages 617-629., [@2019 Линк](#)
286. Makovníková, J. et al. 2019. An approach to the assessment of regulating agroecosystem services. *Polish Journal of Soil Science*, Volume 52, No. 1 (2019)., [@2019 Линк](#)
287. Madrigal-Martínez, S., Miralles i García, J. 2019. Land-change dynamics and ecosystem service trends across the central high-Andean Puna. *Scientific Reports* 9, 9688 (2019)., [@2019 Линк](#)
288. Morán-Ordóñez, A. et al. 2019. The use of scenarios and models to evaluate the future of nature values and ecosystem services in Mediterranean forests. *Regional Environmental Change* (2019) 19: 415., [@2019 Линк](#)
289. Bončina, A. et al. 2019. Assessment of the concept of forest functions in Central European forestry. *Environmental Science & Policy*, Volume 99, September 2019, Pages 123-135., [@2019 Линк](#)
290. Nurokhmah, I. et al . 2019. The linkage of social-ecological system of Mangrove in Jor Bay, East Lombok Regency, West Nusa Tenggara. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* 241, 012001., [@2019 Линк](#)
291. Roche, P., Campagne, C. 2019. Are expert-based ecosystem services scores related to biophysical quantitative estimates? *Ecological Indicators*, Volume 106, November 2019, 105421., [@2019 Линк](#)
292. Chen, J. et al. 2019. Quantifying ecosystem services supply and demand shortfalls and mismatches for management optimisation. *Science of The Total Environment*, Volume 650, Part 1, 10 February 2019, Pages 1426-1439., [@2019 Линк](#)
293. Ronchi, S., Arcidiacono, A. 2019. Adopting an Ecosystem Services-Based Approach for Flood Resilient Strategies: The Case of Rocinha Favela (Brazil). *Sustainability* 2019, 11 (1), 4., [@2019 Линк](#)
294. Müller, A. et al. 2019. Can Existing Estimates for Ecosystem Service Values Inform Forest Management? *Forests* 2019, 10 (2), 132., [@2019 Линк](#)
295. Lacher, I. et al. 2019. Scale-dependent impacts of urban and agricultural land use on nutrients, sediment, and runoff. *Science of The Total Environment* Volume 652, 20 February 2019, Pages 611-622., [@2019 Линк](#)
296. Mashizi, A. et al. 2019. Exploring management objectives and ecosystem service trade-offs in a semi-arid rangeland basin in southeast Iran. *Ecological Indicators* Volume 98, March 2019, Pages 794-803., [@2019 Линк](#)
297. Giaimo, C., Salata, S. 2019. Ecosystem Services Assessment Methods for Integrated Processes of Urban Planning. The Experience of LIFE SAM4CP Towards Sustainable and Smart Communities. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* 290 (2019) 012116., [@2019 Линк](#)
298. Hatziordanou, L. et al. 2019. Indicators for mapping and assessment of ecosystem condition and of the ecosystem service habitat maintenance in support of the EU Biodiversity Strategy to 2020. *One Ecosystem* 4: e32704., [@2019 Линк](#)
299. Paudyal, K. et al. 2019. Spatial assessment of the impact of land use and land cover change on supply of ecosystem services in Phewa watershed, Nepal. *Ecosystem Services* Volume 36, April 2019, 100895., [@2019 Линк](#)
300. Alders, I., Stanik, N. 2019. Spatial units and scales for cultural ecosystem services: a comparison illustrated by cultural heritage and entertainment services in Scotland. *Landscape Ecology* (2019) 34: 1635., [@2019 Линк](#)
301. Alejandre, E. et al. 2019. Towards an optimal coverage of ecosystem services in LCA. *Journal of Cleaner Production* Volume 231, 10 September 2019, Pages 714-722., [@2019 Линк](#)
302. Tironi-Silva A. et al. 2019. A Hierarchical Approach for the Evaluation of Multiple Ecosystem Services. In: Delgado L., Marín V. (eds) *Social-ecological Systems of Latin America: Complexities and Challenges*. Springer, Cham., [@2019 Линк](#)
303. Gacutan, J. et al. 2019. Towards an understanding of the spatial relationships between natural capital and maritime activities: A Bayesian Belief Network approach. *Ecosystem Services* Volume 40, December 2019, 101034., [@2019 Линк](#)
304. Schmidt, K. et al. 2019. Key landscape features in the provision of ecosystem services: Insights for management. *Land Use Policy* Volume 82, March 2019, Pages 353-366., [@2019 Линк](#)
305. Liu et al 2019 Quantifying the spatio-temporal drivers of planned vegetation restoration on ecosystem services at a regional scale. *Science of The Total Environment* Volume 650, Part 1, 10 February 2019, Pages 1029-1040., [@2019 Линк](#)
306. Rullens, V. et al. 2019. Ecological Mechanisms Underpinning Ecosystem Service Bundles in Marine Environments – A Case Study for Shellfish. *Frontiers in Marine Science* Volume 6, August 2019, Article 409., [@2019 Линк](#)

307. Mansell, P. et al. 2019. Assessing the Impact of Infrastructure Projects on Global Sustainable Development Goals. Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers - Engineering Sustainability 0 0:0, 1-14., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
308. Kamlun, K., Arndt, R. 2019. Expert-Based Approach on Mapping Ecosystem Services Potential Supply Incircling a Protected Areas by Integrating Matrix Model Assessment. Journal of Physics: Conference Series 1358 (2019): 012032., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
309. Yan, H. et al. 2019. Agent-Based Modeling of Sustainable Ecological Consumption for Grasslands: A Case Study of Inner Mongolia, China. Sustainability 2019, 11(8), 2261., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
310. Karstens, S. et al. 2019. Expert-Based Evaluation of Ecosystem Service Provision in Coastal Reed Wetlands Under Different Management Regimes. Frontiers in Environmental Science 7:63., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
311. Cattaneo, T. et al. 2019. Landscape, Architecture and Environmental Regeneration: A Research by Design Approach for Inclusive Tourism in a Rural Village in China. Sustainability 2019, 11 (1), 128., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
312. Nesheim, I., Barkved, L. 2019. The Suitability of the Ecosystem Services Framework for Guiding Benefit Assessments in Human-Modified Landscapes Exemplified by Regulated Watersheds—Implications for a Sustainable Approach. Sustainability 2019, 11 (6), 1821., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
313. Tavares, P. et al. 2019. Urban Ecosystem Services Quantification through Remote Sensing Approach: A Systematic Review. Environments 2019, 6 (5), 51., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
314. Kaletová, T. et al. 2019. Relevance of Intermittent Rivers and Streams in Agricultural Landscape and Their Impact on Provided Ecosystem Services—A Mediterranean Case Study. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 2019, 16 (15), 2693., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
315. Shahzad, L. et al. 2019. Assessing the impacts of changing climate on forest ecosystem services and livelihood of Balakot mountainous communities. Pakistan Journal of Botany, 51 (4)., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
316. Merlotto, A. et al. 2019. Regulating ecosystem services of beaches in General Alvarado Municipality, Buenos Aires, Argentina. Revista de geografia Norte Grande Gd. no.73 Santiago set. 2019., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
317. Brunetta, G. et al. 2019. Territorial Resilience: Toward a Proactive Meaning for Spatial Planning. Sustainability 2019, 11 (8), 2286., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
318. Kienast, F. et al. 2019. Ecosystem Services Under Pressure. In: von Haaren C., Lovett A., Albert C. (eds) Landscape Planning with Ecosystem Services. Landscape Series, vol 24. Springer, Dordrecht., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
319. Vargas, L. et al. 2019. Assessing the Capacity of Ecosystems to Supply Ecosystem Services Using Remote Sensing and An Ecosystem Accounting Approach. Environmental Management (2019) 63: 1. pp 1-15., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
320. Jiang, B. et al. 2019. Supply–Demand Coupling Mechanisms for Policy Design. Sustainability 2019, 11 (20), 5760., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
321. Yaneva R., Cortinas Muñoz J. 2020. Integrated Assessment and Modelling of the Spatially Explicit Perceptions of Social Demands for Ecosystem Services. In: Nedkov S. et al. (eds) Smart Geography, pp. 373-390. Key Challenges in Geography (EUROGEO Book Series). Springer, Cham., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
322. Liu, Z. et al. 2019. Landscape-Based Assessment of Urban Resilience and Its Evolution: A Case Study of the Central City of Shenyang. Sustainability 2019, 11 (10), 2964., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
323. Augstburger, H., Rist, S. 2019. Assessing the capacity of three Bolivian food systems to provide farm-based agroecosystem services. Journal of Land Use Science. Published online: 19 Aug 2019., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
324. Ribeiro, D., Šmid Hribar, M. 2019. Assessment of land-use changes and their impacts on ecosystem services in two Slovenian rural landscapes. Acta geographica Slovenica, [S.I.], v. 59, n. 2, jan. 2019. ISSN 1581-8314., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
325. Le Clech, S. et al. 2019. Uncertainty in ecosystem services maps: the case of carbon stocks in the Brazilian Amazon forest using regression analysis. One Ecosystem 4: e28720., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
326. Maebe, L. et al. 2019. The critical role of abiotic factors and human activities in the supply of ecosystem services in the ES matrix. One Ecosystem 4: e34769., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
327. Liu, L. et al. 2019. Supply and demand matching of ecosystem services in loess hilly region: A case study of Lanzhou. Acta Geographica Sinica, Vol. 74, Issue (9): 1921-1937., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
328. Cui, F. et al. 2019. Integrating ecosystem services supply and demand into optimized management at different scales: A case study in Hulunbuir, China. Ecosystem Services, Volume 39, October 2019, 100984., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
329. Torres-Gómez M. et al. 2019. Social Valuation of Ecosystem Services at Local Scale: Challenges for the Management of a Multiple-Use Coastal and Marine Protected Area (MU-CMPA): Isla Grande de Atacama: Chile. In: Delgado L., Marín V. (eds) Social-ecological Systems of Latin America: Complexities and Challenges. Springer, Cham., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
330. Xie, G. et al. 2019. A spatio-temporal delineation of trans-boundary ecosystem service flows from Inner Mongolia. Environmental Research Letters 14 (2019) 065002., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
331. Graves, R. et al. 2019. Effects of bird community dynamics on the seasonal distribution of cultural ecosystem services. Ambio 48: 3, 280-292., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
332. Spyra, M. et al. 2019. Ecosystem services deficits in cross-boundary landscapes: spatial mismatches between green and grey systems. Urban Ecosystems (2019) 22: 37., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
333. Retka, J. et al. 2019. Assessing cultural ecosystem services of a large marine protected area through social media photographs. Ocean & Coastal Management, Volume 176, 15 June 2019, Pages 40-48., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
334. Aiba, M. et al. 2019. The seasonal and scale-dependent associations between vegetation quality and hiking activities as a recreation

- service. Sustainable Science (2019) 14: 119., @2019 [Линк](#)
335. Faber, J. et al. 2019. Priorities and opportunities in the application of the ecosystem services concept in risk assessment for 1.000 chemicals in the environment. *Science of The Total Environment* Volume 651, Part 1, 15 February 2019, Pages 1067-1077., @2019 [Линк](#)
336. Xu, J. et al. 2019. Computing payments for wind erosion prevention service incorporating ecosystem services flow and regional 1.000 disparity in Yanchi County. *Science of The Total Environment*, Volume 674, 15 July 2019, Pages 563-579., @2019 [Линк](#)
337. Balena P. et al. 2019. Social Value of Nature Amenities: WTP for the Use of Public Seasides. In: Misra S. et al. (eds) Computational 1.000 Science and Its Applications – ICCSA 2019. ICCSA 2019. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 11622. Springer, Cham., @2019 [Линк](#)
338. Yuan, Y. et al. 2019. Urban sprawl decreases the value of ecosystem services and intensifies the supply scarcity of ecosystem 1.000 services in China. *Science of The Total Environment*, Volume 697, 20 December 2019, 134170., @2019 [Линк](#)
339. Inostroza, L., Barrera, F. 2019. Ecosystem Services and Urbanisation. A Spatially Explicit Assessment in Upper Silesia, Central 1.000 Europe. IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering 471 (2019), 092028., @2019 [Линк](#)
340. Jaligot, R. et al. 2019. Historical dynamics of ecosystem services and land management policies in Switzerland. *Ecological Indicators*, 1.000 Volume 101, June 2019, Pages 81-90., @2019 [Линк](#)
341. Tully, K. et al. 2019. The Invisible Flood: The Chemistry, Ecology, and Social Implications of Coastal Saltwater Intrusion. *BioScience*, 1.000 Volume 69, Issue 5, May 2019, Pages 368-378., @2019 [Линк](#)
342. Inostroza, L. 2019. Clustering Spatially Explicit Bundles of Ecosystem Services in A Central European Region. IOP Conference 1.000 Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 471 (2019) 092027., @2019 [Линк](#)
343. Sun, R. et al. 2019. A demand index for recreational ecosystem services associated with urban parks in Beijing, China. *Journal of 1.000 Environmental Management*, Volume 251, 1 December 2019, 109612., @2019 [Линк](#)
344. Guan, Q. et al. 2019. Ecological indexes for the analysis of the spatial-temporal characteristics of ecosystem service supply and 1.000 demand: A case study of the major grain-producing regions in Quzhou, China. *Ecological Indicators*, Volume 108, January 2020, 105748., @2019 [Линк](#)
345. Lopes, R., Videira, N. 2019. How to articulate the multiple value dimensions of ecosystem services? Insights from implementing the 1.000 PArticulatES framework in a coastal social-ecological system in Portugal. *Ecosystem Services*, Volume 38, August 2019, 100955., @2019 [Линк](#)
346. Barbosa, A. et al. 2019. Cost-effective restoration and conservation planning in Green and Blue Infrastructure designs. A case study 1.000 on the Intercontinental Biosphere Reserve of the Mediterranean: Andalusia (Spain) – Morocco. *Science of The Total Environment* Volume 652, 20 February 2019, Pages 1463-1473, @2019 [Линк](#)
347. García-Llamas, P. et al. 2019. Impact of land cover change on ecosystem service supply in mountain systems: a case study in the 1.000 Cantabrian Mountains (NW of Spain). *Regional Environmental Change* (2019) 19: 529-542., @2019 [Линк](#)
348. Chen, F. et al. 2019. Evaluating Ecosystem Services Supply and Demand Dynamics and Ecological Zoning Management in Wuhan, 1.000 China. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 2019, 16 (13), 2332., @2019 [Линк](#)
349. Wu, X. et al. 2019. Ecological security evaluation based on entropy matter-element model: A case study of Kunming city, southwest 1.000 China. *Ecological Indicators*, Volume 102, July 2019, Pages 469-478., @2019 [Линк](#)
350. Meisch, C. et al. 2019. Assessing Freshwater Provision and Consumption in the Alpine Space Applying the Ecosystem Service 1.000 Concept. *Sustainability* 2019, 11(4), 1131., @2019 [Линк](#)
351. Yang, S. et al. 2019. Socio-cultural valuation of rural and urban perception on ecosystem services and human well-being in Yanhe 1.000 watershed of China. *Journal of Environmental Management*, Volume 251, 1 December 2019, 109615., @2019 [Линк](#)
352. Rodríguez-Espínosa, V. et al. 2019. Green infrastructure design using GIS and spatial analysis: a proposal for the Henares Corridor 1.000 (Madrid-Guadalajara, Spain). *Landscape Research*, 1-18., @2019 [Линк](#)
353. Li, T. et al. 2019. Carbon sequestration service flow in the Guanzhong-Tianshui economic region of China: How it flows, what drives 1.000 it, and where could be optimized? *Ecological Indicators*, Volume 96, Part 1, January 2019, Pages 548-558., @2019 [Линк](#)
354. Mohammadi, A. et al. 2019. Land-Cover Change Detection in a Part of Cameron Highlands, Malaysia Using ETM+ Satellite Imagery 1.000 and Support Vector Machine (SVM) Algorithm. *EnvironmentAsia* 12 (2) (2019) 145-154., @2019 [Линк](#)
355. Sun, Y. et al. 2019. Spatio-temporal evolution scenarios and the coupling analysis of ecosystem services with land use change in 1.000 China. *Science of The Total Environment*, Volume 681, 1 September 2019, Pages 211-225., @2019 [Линк](#)
356. Semeraro, T. et al. 2019. Changes in Olive Urban Forests Infected by *Xylella fastidiosa*: Impact on Microclimate and Social Health. 1.000 *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 2019, 16 (15), 2642., @2019 [Линк](#)
357. Chen, W. et al. 2019. The spatial aspect of ecosystem services balance and its determinants. *Land Use Policy*, Volume 90, January 1.000 2020, 104263., @2019 [Линк](#)
358. Aziz, T., Cappellen, P. 2019. Comparative valuation of potential and realized ecosystem services in Southern Ontario, Canada. 1.000 *Environmental Science & Policy* Volume 100, October 2019, Pages 105-112., @2019 [Линк](#)
359. Aitkenhead, M., Coull, M. 2019. Digital mapping of soil ecosystem services in Scotland using neural networks and relationship 1.000 modelling. Part 2: Mapping of soil ecosystem services. *Soil Use and Management*, Volume 35, Issue 2, Pages 217-231., @2019 [Линк](#)
360. Ma, S. et al. 2019. Who is Vulnerable to Ecosystem Service Change? Reconciling Locally Disaggregated Ecosystem Service Supply 1.000

- and Demand. Ecological Economics, Volume 157, March 2019, Pages 312-320., @2019 [Линк](#)
361. Zhang, H. et al. 2019. Local Residents' Perceptions for Ecosystem Services: A Case Study of Fenghe River Watershed. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 2019, 16 (19), 3602., @2019 [Линк](#)
362. Jaligot, R. et al. 2019. Assessing spatial temporal patterns of ecosystem services in Switzerland. Landscape Ecology (2019) 34: 1.000 1379., @2019 [Линк](#)
363. Schirpke, U. et al. 2019. Integrating supply, flow and demand to enhance the understanding of interactions among multiple ecosystem services. Science of The Total Environment Volume 651, Part 1, 15 February 2019, Pages 928-941., @2019 [Линк](#)
364. Kokkoris, I. et al. 2019. Integrating MAES implementation into protected area management under climate change: A fine-scale application in Greece. Science of The Total Environment, Volume 695, 10 December 2019, 133530., @2019 [Линк](#)
365. Qin, K. et al. 2019. Integrating ecosystem services flows into water security simulations in water scarce areas: Present and future. Science of The Total Environment, Volume 670, 20 June 2019, Pages 1037-1048., @2019 [Линк](#)
366. Pinto, A. et al. 2019. From Archived Historical Aerial Imagery to Informative Orthophotos: A Framework for Retrieving the Past in Long-Term Socioecological Research. Remote Sensing 2019, 11 (11), 1388., @2019 [Линк](#)
367. Ha, S., Yang, Z. 2019. Evaluation for landscape aesthetic value of the Natural World Heritage Site. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment 191: 483., @2019 [Линк](#)
368. Olatoye, T. et al. 2019. Ecosystem Functioning, Goods, Services and Economic Benefits in Buffalo City Metropolitan Municipality (BCMM) Eastern Cape, South Africa Journal of Human Ecology, 67 (1-3): 79-90., @2019 [Линк](#)
369. Lorilla, R. et al. 2019. Identifying spatial mismatches between the supply and demand of ecosystem services to achieve a sustainable management regime in the Ionian Islands (Western Greece). Land Use Policy Volume 88, November 2019, 104171., @2019 [Линк](#)
370. Viirret, E. et al. 2019. Ecosystem Services at the Archipelago Sea Biosphere Reserve in Finland: A Visitor Perspective. Sustainability 2019, 11(2), 421., @2019 [Линк](#)
371. Herrero-Jáuregui, C. et al. 2019. Aligning landscape structure with ecosystem services along an urban-rural gradient. Trade-offs and transitions towards cultural services. Landscape Ecology Volume 34, Issue 7, pp. 1525-1545., @2019 [Линк](#)
372. Sun, X. et al. 2020. Spatiotemporal patterns and drivers of ecosystem service supply and demand across the conterminous United States: A multiscale analysis. Science of The Total Environment, Volume 703, 10 February 2020, 135005., @2020 [Линк](#)

2013

7. Crossman, N., Burkhard, B., **Nedkov, S.**, Willemen, L., Petz, K., Palomo, I., Drakou, E., Martin-Lopez, B., McPhearson, T., Boyanova, K., Alkemade, R., Egoh, B., Dunbar, M., Maes, J.. A blueprint for mapping and modelling ecosystem services. *Ecosystem Services*, 4, Elsevier, 2013, ISSN:ISSN: 2212-0416, DOI:doi:10.1016/j.ecoser.2013.02.001, 4-14. SJR:1.743, ISI IF:4.359

Цитира се в:

373. Bukhareva, E. et al. Supplied, demanded and consumed ecosystem services: Prospects for national assessment in Russia, @2017 [Линк](#)
374. Cruz-Garcia, G. et al. To what extent have the links between ecosystem services and human well-being been researched in Africa, Asia, and Latin America?, @2017 [Линк](#)
375. Zhang, L. et al. Coupling ecosystem services supply and human ecological demand to identify landscape ecological security pattern: A case study in Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region, China, @2017 [Линк](#)
376. Gret-Ragamey, A. et al. Review of decision support tools to operationalize the ecosystem services concept. *Ecosystem Services* Volume 26, Part B, August 2017, @2017 [Линк](#)
377. Tammi, L. et al. Integrating spatial valuation of ecosystem services into regional planning and development. *Ecosystem Services* Volume 26, Part B, August 2017, @2017 [Линк](#)
378. Reed, M. et al. A place-based approach to payments for ecosystem services. *Global Environmental Change* Volume 43, March 2017, @2017 [Линк](#)
379. Brogna, D. et al. How does forest cover impact water flows and ecosystem services? Insights from "real-life" catchments in Wallonia (Belgium). *Ecological Indicators* Volume 72, January 2017, @2017 [Линк](#)
380. Englund, O. et al. How to analyse ecosystem services in landscapes—A systematic review. *Ecological Indicators* Volume 73, February 2017, @2017 [Линк](#)
381. Zarandian, A. et al. Modeling of ecosystem services informs spatial planning in lands adjacent to the Sarvelat and Javaherdasht protected area in northern Iran. *Land Use Policy* Volume 61, February 2017, , @2017 [Линк](#)
382. Blicharska, M. et al. Shades of grey challenge practical application of the cultural ecosystem services concept. *Ecosystem Services* Volume 23, February 2017, @2017 [Линк](#)
383. Olaya Rodriguez, M. et al. Mapeo del servicio ecosistémico de alimento asociado a la pesca en los humedales interiores de Colombia. *Ecol. austral* vol.27 no.1 supl.1 Córdoba abr. 2017, @2017 [Линк](#)
384. Cord, A. et al. Towards systematic analyses of ecosystem service trade-offs and synergies: Main concepts, methods and the road ahead. 2017, @2017 [Линк](#)

385. Sala, O. et al. Rangeland Ecosystem Services: Nature's Supply and Humans' Demand. *Rangeland Systems* , 2017., [@2017](#) [Линк](#) 1.000
386. Mononen, L. et a. Comparative study on biophysical ecosystem service mapping methods—a test case of carbon stocks in Finnish Forest Lapland. *Ecological Indicators* Volume 73, February 2017, [@2017](#) [Линк](#) 1.000
387. Sigwela, A. et al. Defining core areas of ecological infrastructure to secure rural livelihoods in South Africa., [@2017](#) [Линк](#) 1.000
388. Brunner, S. et al. Mapping uncertainties in the future provision of ecosystem services in a mountain region in Switzerland., [@2017](#) [Линк](#) 1.000
389. Grenier, L. et al. Soil function assessment: review of methods for quantifying the contributions of soils to ecosystem services. *Land Use Policy* Volume 69, December 2017, [@2017](#) [Линк](#) 1.000
390. Nasta, P. et al. Assessing long-term impact of land-use change on hydrological ecosystem functions in a Mediterranean upland agro-forestry catchment, [@2017](#) [Линк](#) 1.000
391. Ochoa, V. et al. Tools for spatially modeling ecosystem services: Publication trends, conceptual reflections and future challenges, [@2017](#) [Линк](#) 1.000
392. Zhang, L. et al. Mapping ecosystem services for China's ecoregions with a biophysical surrogate approach, [@2017](#) [Линк](#) 1.000
393. Song, X. et al. Chapter 19 – Sensitivity in Ecological Modeling: From Local to Regional Scales. *Sensitivity Analysis in Earth Observation Modelling* 2017., [@2017](#) [Линк](#) 1.000
394. Schulz, J. et al. Identifying suitable multifunctional restoration areas for Forest Landscape Restoration in Central Chile, [@2017](#) [Линк](#) 1.000
395. Roussel, F. et al. Testing the applicability of ecosystem services mapping methods for peri-urban contexts: A case study for Paris., [@2017](#) [Линк](#) 1.000
396. Afflek, A. Indicators of ecosystem potential for pollination and honey production, [@2017](#) [Линк](#) 1.000
397. Eigenbrod, F. et al. Spatial covariance of ecosystem services and poverty in China, [@2017](#) [Линк](#) 1.000
398. Vargas, L. et al. Accounting for ecosystem assets using remote sensing in the Colombian Orinoco River Basin lowlands, [@2017](#) [Линк](#) 1.000
399. Orlando, J and Yee, S. Linking Terrigenous Sediment Delivery to Declines in Coral Reef Ecosystem Services, [@2017](#) [Линк](#) 1.000
400. Lavorel, S. et al. Pathways to bridge the biophysical realism gap in ecosystem services mapping approaches, [@2017](#) [Линк](#) 1.000
401. Owour, M. et al. Mapping of ecosystem services flow in Mida Creek, Kenya, [@2017](#) [Линк](#) 1.000
402. Field, R. and Parrott, L. Multi-ecosystem services networks: A new perspective for assessing landscape connectivity and resilience, [@2017](#) [Линк](#) 1.000
403. Cumming, T. et al. Achieving the national development agenda and the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) through investment in ecological infrastructure: A case study of South Africa, [@2017](#) [Линк](#) 1.000
404. Santos de Lima, I. et al. Uncertainties in demonstrating environmental benefits of payments for ecosystem services., [@2017](#) [Линк](#) 1.000
405. Cumming, G. and Maciejewski, K. Reconciling community ecology and ecosystem services: Cultural services and benefits from birds in South African National Parks, [@2017](#) [Линк](#) 1.000
406. Baro, F. et al. Ecosystem service bundles along the urban-rural gradient: Insights for landscape planning and management, [@2017](#) [Линк](#) 1.000
407. Hanna, D. et al. A review of riverine ecosystem service quantification: Research gaps and recommendations, [@2017](#) [Линк](#) 1.000
408. Tomscha, S. et al. The spatial organization of ecosystem services in river-floodplains, [@2017](#) [Линк](#) 1.000
409. Balzan, M. et al. Assessing the capacity and flow of ecosystem services in multifunctional landscapes: evidence of a rural-urban gradient in a Mediterranean small island state., [@2017](#) [Линк](#) 1.000
410. Leimona, B. et al. Ecosystem services provisioning depends on landscape structure Photo by World Agroforestry Centre/Ateik Widayati, [@2017](#) [Линк](#) 1.000
411. Fernandes-Kampo, M. et al. Ecosystem services mapping for detection of bundles, synergies and trade-offs: Examples from two Norwegian municipalities., [@2017](#) [Линк](#) 1.000
412. Spano, M. et al. Are ecosystem service hotspots located in protected areas? Results from a study in Southern Italy, [@2017](#) [Линк](#) 1.000
413. Paundyal, K. et al. Ecosystem services from community-based forestry in Nepal: Realising local and global benefits, [@2017](#) [Линк](#) 1.000
414. Meyer, M. et al. A systematic review of the conceptual differences of environmental assessment and ecosystem service studies of biofuel and bioenergy production, [@2017](#) [Линк](#) 1.000
415. Burhhila, C. et al. MAIN FRAMEWORK AND INDICATORS USED IN MAPPING AND ASSESSMENT OF ECOSYSTEM SERVICES FOR THE EU BIODIVERSITY STRATEGY UP TO 2020, [@2017](#) [Линк](#) 1.000
416. Looy, K. et al. Analyzing riparian zone ecosystem services bundles to instruct river management., [@2017](#) [Линк](#) 1.000
417. Choi, I. et al. Economic Valuation of the Aquatic Biodiversity Conservation in South Korea: Correcting for the Endogeneity Bias in Contingent Valuation, [@2017](#) [Линк](#) 1.000
418. Yoshimura, N. and Hiura, T. Demand and supply of cultural ecosystem services: Use of geotagged photos to map the aesthetic value of landscapes in Hokkaido, [@2017](#) [Линк](#) 1.000

419. Wozniak, E. et al. From intrinsic to service potential: An approach to assess tourism landscape potential, @2017 [Линк](#) 1.000
420. Guimaraesh, H. et al. ndicators of ecosystem services in a military Atlantic Forest area, Pernambuco—Brazil, @2017 [Линк](#) 1.000
421. Salata, S. The Integration of Ecosystem Services in Planning: An Evaluation of the Nutrient Retention Model Using InVEST Software, @2017 [Линк](#) 1.000
422. Furst, C. et al. Nexus thinking – how ecosystem services can contribute to enhancing the cross-scale and cross-sectoral coherence between land use, spatial planning and policy-making, @2017 [Линк](#) 1.000
423. Czucz et ai. 2018. Where concepts meet the real world: A systematic review of ecosystem service indicators and their classification using CICES. Ecosystem Services Volume 29, Part A, February 2018, Pages 145-157, @2018 [Линк](#) 1.000
424. Balzan et al. 2018. Assessing the capacity and flow of ecosystem services in multifunctional landscapes: Evidence of a rural-urban gradient in a Mediterranean small island state. Land Use Policy Volume 75, June 2018, Pages 711-725, @2018 [Линк](#) 1.000
425. Rabe et al. 2018. Increasing the credibility of expert-based models with preference surveys – Mapping recreation in the riverine zone. Ecosystem Services Volume 31, Part C, June 2018, Pages 308-317, @2018 [Линк](#) 1.000
426. Fenk et al. 2018. Assessment of human consumption of ecosystem services in China from 2000 to 2014 based on an ecosystem service footprint model. Ecological Indicators Volume 94, Part 1, November 2018, Pages 468-481, @2018 [Линк](#) 1.000
427. Julian et al. 2018. University Students' Social Demand of a Blue Space and the Influence of Life Experiences. Sustainability 2018, 10(9), 3178; @2018 [Линк](#) 1.000
428. Czucz et al. 2018. Where concepts meet the real world: A systematic review of ecosystem service indicators and their classification using CICES. Ecosystem Services 29 (2018) 145–157, @2018 [Линк](#) 1.000
429. Wei et al. 2018. Linking ecosystem services supply, social demand and human well-being in a typical mountain–oasis–desert area, Xinjiang, China. Ecosystem Services Volume 31, Part A, June 2018, Pages 44-57, @2018 [Линк](#) 1.000
430. Lorilla et al. 2018. Assessment of the Spatial Dynamics and Interactions among Multiple Ecosystem Services to Promote Effective Policy Making across Mediterranean Island Landscapes. Sustainability 2018, 10(9), 3285; @2018 [Линк](#) 1.000
431. Littles et al. 2018. Linking people to coastal habitats: A meta-analysis of final ecosystem goods and services on the coast. Ocean & Coastal Management Volume 165, 1 November 2018, Pages 356-369, @2018 [Линк](#) 1.000
432. Jalkanen et al. 2018. Where are the hotspots and coldspots of landscape values, visitor use and biodiversity in an urban forest? PlosOne, @2018 [Линк](#) 1.000
433. Hummer et al. 2018. Protected Area management: Fusion and confusion with the ecosystem services approach. Science of The Total Environment Volume 651, Part 2, 15 February 2019, Pages 2432-2443, @2018 [Линк](#) 1.000
434. Roces-Diaz et al. 2018. The spatial level of analysis affects the patterns of forest ecosystem services supply and their relationships. Science of The Total Environment Volume 626, 1 June 2018, Pages 1270-1283, @2018 [Линк](#) 1.000
435. Meyer and Leckert 2018.A systematic review of the conceptual differences of environmental assessment and ecosystem service studies of biofuel and bioenergy production. Biomass and Bioenergy Volume 114, July 2018, Pages 8-17, @2018 [Линк](#) 1.000
436. Banerjee et al. 2018. Estimating benefits of investing in resilience of coastal infrastructure in small island developing states: An application to Barbados. Marine Policy Volume 90, April 2018, Pages 78-87, @2018 [Линк](#) 1.000
437. Tank et al. 2018. Reconciling Life Cycle Environmental Impacts with Ecosystem Services: A Management Perspective on Agricultural Land Use. Sustainability 2018, 10(3), 630; @2018 [Линк](#) 1.000
438. Hanna et al. 2018. A review of riverine ecosystem service quantification: Research gaps and recommendations. Journal of Applied Ecology Volume 55, Issue 3, @2018 [Линк](#) 1.000
439. Malmborg et al. 2018. Mapping regional livelihood benefits from local ecosystem services assessments in rural Sahel. PlosOne, @2018 [Линк](#) 1.000
440. Aitken et al. 2018. A role for data richness mapping in exploration decision making. Ore Geology Reviews Volume 99, August 2018, Pages 398-410, @2018 [Линк](#) 1.000
441. Bailey et al. 2018. A computational approach to managing coupled human–environmental systems: the POSEIDON model of ocean fisheries., @2018 [Линк](#) 1.000
442. Hou et al. 2018. A conservation decision-making framework based on ecosystem service hotspot and interaction analyses on multiple scales. Science of The Total Environment Volume 643, 1 December 2018, Pages 277-291, @2018 [Линк](#) 1.000
443. Mashizi et al. 2018. Exploring management objectives and ecosystem service trade-offs in a semi-arid rangeland basin in southeast Iran. Ecological Indicators Volume 98, March 2019, Pages 794-803, @2018 [Линк](#) 1.000
444. Samuel, R. 2018. Assessing the visual landscape potential of coastal territories for spatial planning. A case study in the French Mediterranean. Land Use Policy Volume 72, March 2018, Pages 138-151, @2018 [Линк](#) 1.000
445. Hermes et al. 2018. Assessing the aesthetic quality of landscapes in Germany. Ecosystem Services Volume 31, Part C, June 2018, Pages 296-307, @2018 [Линк](#) 1.000
446. Koopman et al. 2018. Suitable landscape classification systems for quantifying spatiotemporal development of riverine ecosystem services. Freshwater Science Volume 37, Number 1 | March 2018, @2018 [Линк](#) 1.000
447. Du, B., Zhen, L., Hu, Y. et al. J. Comparison of ecosystem services provided by grasslands with different utilization patterns in China's Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region Geogr. Sci. (2018) 28: 1399., @2018 [Линк](#) 1.000
448. Kangas et al. 2018. Sources and types of uncertainties in the information on forest-related ecosystem services. Forest Ecology and Management 419, 15 January 2018, Pages 1-10, @2018 [Линк](#) 1.000

- Management Volume 427, 1 November 2018, Pages 7-16., @2018 [Линк](#)
449. Ghazi et al. 2018. Mapping regulating services in Marrakesh Safi region - Morocco. Journal of Arid Environments Volume 159, 1.000 December 2018, Pages 54-65, @2018 [Линк](#)
450. Almeter et al. 2018. A Needs-Driven, Multi-Objective Approach to Allocate Urban Ecosystem Services from 10, 000 Trees. *sustainability* 1.000 2018, 10(12), 4488; @2018 [Линк](#)
451. Clemente et al. 2018. Combining social media photographs and species distribution models to map cultural ecosystem services: The 1.000 case of a Natural Park in Portugal. Ecological Indicators Volume 96, Part 1, January 2019, Pages 59-68, @2018 [Линк](#)
452. Alfek 2018. Indicators of ecosystem potential for pollination and honey production. Ecological Indicators Volume 94, Part 2, 1.000 November 2018, Pages 33-45, @2018 [Линк](#)
453. Meyer et al. 2018. A systematic review of the conceptual differences of environmental assessment and ecosystem service studies of 1.000 biofuel and bioenergy production. Biomass and Bioenergy Volume 114, July 2018, Pages 8-17, @2018 [Линк](#)
454. Gorn et al. 2018. Improving the Matrix-Assessment of Ecosystem Services Provision—The Case of Regional Land Use Planning 1.000 under Climate Change in the Region of Halle, Germany. *Land* 2018, 7(2), 76; @2018 [Линк](#)
455. Gosal et al. 2018. Comparison of methods for a landscape-scale assessment of the cultural ecosystem services associated with 1.000 different habitats. International Journal of Biodiversity Science, Ecosystem Services & Management Volume 14, 2018 - Issue 1, @2018 [Линк](#)
456. Fleischer et al. 2018. A Spatially Accurate Method for Evaluating Distributional Effects of Ecosystem Services. Ecological Economics 1.000 Volume 145, March 2018, Pages 451-460, @2018 [Линк](#)
457. He et al. 2018. Trade-offs in ecosystem services based on a comprehensive regionalization method: a case study from an 1.000 urbanization area in China. Environmental Earth Sciences, March 2018, 77:179, @2018 [Линк](#)
458. Wozniak et al. 2018. From intrinsic to service potential: An approach to assess tourism landscape potential. Landscape and Urban 1.000 Planning Volume 170, February 2018, Pages 209-220, @2018 [Линк](#)
459. Santos de Lima et al. 2018. Will PES Schemes Survive in the Long-term Without Evidence of Their Effectiveness? Exploring Four 1.000 Water-related Cases in Colombia. Will PES Schemes Survive in the Long-term Without Evidence of Their Effectiveness? Exploring Four Water-related Cases in Colombia. Ecological Economics Volume 156, February 2019, Pages 211-223, @2018 [Линк](#)
460. Koopman et al. Quantifying biomass production for assessing ecosystem services of riverine landscapes. *Science of The Total 1.000 Environment* Volume 624, 15 May 2018, Pages 1577-1585, @2018 [Линк](#)
461. Sgro et al. Lake Erie's ecological history reconstructed from the sedimentary record. *Journal of Great Lakes Research* Volume 44, 1.000 Issue 1, February 2018, Pages 54-69, @2018 [Линк](#)
462. Wang et al. 2018. Ecosystem Services Mapping Uncertainty Assessment: A Case Study in the Fitzroy Basin Mining Region. *Water* 1.000 2018, 10(1), 88; @2018 [Линк](#)
463. Malitic et al. 2018. Forest, wetland and biodiversity: Revealing multi-faceted ecological services from ecorestoration of a degraded 1.000 tropical landscape. *Ecohydrology & Hydrobiology* Volume 18, Issue 3, July 2018, Pages 278-296, @2018 [Линк](#)
464. Aruniawat and Shrestha 2018. Simulating future land use and ecosystem services in Northern Thailand. *Journal of Land Use Science* 1.000 Volume 13, 2018 - Issue 1-2, @2018 [Линк](#)
465. Mondai and Zhang 2018. Research Progress on Changes in Land Use and Land Cover in the Western Himalayas (India) and Effects 1.000 on Ecosystem Services. *Sustainability* 2018, 10(12), 4504; <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10124504>, @2018 [Линк](#)
466. Kalfas et al. 2018. The multifunctionality of the natural environment through the basic ecosystem services in the Florina region, 1.000 Greece. *International Journal of Sustainable Development & World Ecology*., @2018 [Линк](#)
467. Wang et al. 2018. Mapping cumulative impacts of mining on sediment retention ecosystem service in an Australian mining region. 1.000 *International Journal of Sustainable Development & World Ecology* Volume 25, 2018 - Issue 1, @2018 [Линк](#)
468. Aiba et al. 2018. The seasonal and scale-dependent associations between vegetation quality and hiking activities as a recreation 1.000 service. *Sustain Sci* (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-018-0609-7>, @2018 [Линк](#)
469. Lee et al. 2019. Mapping cultural ecosystem services 2.0 – Potential and shortcomings from unlabeled crowd sourced images. 1.000 *Ecological Indicators* Volume 96, Part 1, January 2019, Pages 505-515, @2019 [Линк](#)
470. Chen et al. 2019. Quantifying ecosystem services supply and demand shortfalls and mismatches for management optimisation. 1.000 *Science of The Total Environment* Volume 650, Part 1, 10 February 2019, Pages 1426-1439, @2019 [Линк](#)
471. Fagerholm, N. et al. 2019. Cross-site analysis of perceived ecosystem service benefits in multifunctional landscapes. *Global 1.000 Environmental Change*, Volume 56, May 2019, Pages 134-147., @2019 [Линк](#)
472. Elliott, R. et al. 2019. Identifying linkages between urban green infrastructure and ecosystem services using an expert opinion 1.000 methodology. *Ambio* (2019)., @2019 [Линк](#)
473. Lee, H. e t al. 2019. Mapping cultural ecosystem services 2.0 – Potential and shortcomings from unlabeled crowd sourced images. 1.000 *Ecological Indicators*, Volume 96, Part 1, January 2019, Pages 505-515., @2019 [Линк](#)
474. Vardon, M. et al. 2019. Accounting for ecosystem services – Lessons from Australia for its application and use in Oceania to achieve 1.000 sustainable development. *Ecosystem Services*, Volume 39, October 2019, 100986., @2019 [Линк](#)
475. Picchi, P. et al. 2019. Advancing the relationship between renewable energy and ecosystem services for landscape planning and 1.000 design: A literature review. *Ecosystem Services*, Volume 35, February 2019, Pages 241-259., @2019 [Линк](#)

476. Delgado-Aguilar, J. et al. 2019. Combining remote sensing techniques and participatory mapping to understand the relations between forest degradation and ecosystems services in a tropical rainforest. *Applied Geography*, Volume 104, March 2019, Pages 65-74., [@2019 Линк](#)
477. Zoderer, B. et al. 2019. An integrated method for the mapping of landscape preferences at the regional scale. *Ecological Indicators*, Volume 106, November 2019, 105430., [@2019 Линк](#)
478. Clemente, P. et al. 2019. Combining social media photographs and species distribution models to map cultural ecosystem services: The case of a Natural Park in Portugal. *Ecological Indicators*, Volume 96, Part 1, January 2019, Pages 59-68., [@2019 Линк](#)
479. Chen, C. et al. 2019. Use it or not: An agro-ecological perspective to flooded riparian land along the Three Gorges Reservoir. *Science of The Total Environment*, Volume 650, Part 1, 10 February 2019, Pages 1062-1072., [@2019 Линк](#)
480. Theuerkauf, S. et al. 2019. Integrating ecosystem services considerations within a GIS-based habitat suitability index for oyster restoration. *PLoS ONE* 14(1): e0210936., [@2019 Линк](#)
481. Mashizi, A. et al. 2019. Exploring management objectives and ecosystem service trade-offs in a semi-arid rangeland basin in southeast Iran. *Ecological Indicators*, Volume 98, March 2019, Pages 794-803., [@2019 Линк](#)
482. Hunt, M. et al. 2019. Monitoring the Sustainable Intensification of Arable Agriculture: the Potential Role of Earth Observation. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, Volume 81, September 2019, Pages 125-136., [@2019 Линк](#)
483. Aalder, I., Stanik, N. 2019. Spatial units and scales for cultural ecosystem services: a comparison illustrated by cultural heritage and entertainment services in Scotland. *Landscape Ecology* (2019) 34: 1635., [@2019 Линк](#)
484. Loomis, J. et al. 2019. Integrated quantification of forest total economic value. *Land Use Policy*, Volume 84, May 2019, Pages 335-346., [@2019 Линк](#)
485. Bailey, R. et al. 2019. A computational approach to managing coupled human–environmental systems: the POSEIDON model of ocean fisheries. *Sustainability Science* (2019) 14: 259., [@2019 Линк](#)
486. Ala-Hulkko, T. et al. 2019. Mapping supply and demand of a provisioning ecosystem service across Europe. *Ecological Indicators*, Volume 103, August 2019, Pages 520-529., [@2019 Линк](#)
487. Xia, C. et al. 2019. Quantifying the net benefit of land use of fruit trees in China. *Land Use Policy*, Volume 90, January 2020, 104276., [@2019 Линк](#)
488. Tzilivakis, J. et al. 2019. Developing practical techniques for quantitative assessment of ecosystem services on farmland. *Ecological Indicators*, Volume 106, November 2019, 105514., [@2019 Линк](#)
489. Hodbod, J. et al. 2019. Integrating Participatory Methods and Remote Sensing to Enhance Understanding of Ecosystem Service Dynamics Across Scales. *Land* 2019, 8 (9), 132., [@2019 Линк](#)
490. Fan, F. et al. 2019. Effects and relationships of grazing intensity on multiple ecosystem services in the Inner Mongolian steppe. *Science of The Total Environment*, Volume 675, 20 July 2019, Pages 642-650., [@2019 Линк](#)
491. Mengist, W. et al. 2019. Ecosystem services research in mountainous regions: A systematic literature review on current knowledge and research gaps. *Science of The Total Environment*, Volume 702, 1 February 2020, 134581., [@2019 Линк](#)
492. Cao, S. et al. 2019. Difference in the net value of ecological services between natural and artificial forests in China. *Conservation Biology*, Volume 33, No. 5, 1076–1083., [@2019 Линк](#)
493. Hummel, C. et al. 2019. Protected Area management: Fusion and confusion with the ecosystem services approach. *Science of The Total Environment*, Volume 651, Part 2, 15 February 2019, Pages 2432-2443., [@2019 Линк](#)
494. Chen, J. et al. 2019. Quantifying ecosystem services supply and demand shortfalls and mismatches for management optimisation. *Science of The Total Environment*, Volume 650, Part 1, 10 February 2019, Pages 1426-1439., [@2019 Линк](#)
495. Gerecke, M. et al. 2019. Assessing potential landscape service trade-offs driven by urbanization in Switzerland. *Palgrave Communications* 5, 109 (2019)., [@2019 Линк](#)
496. Cui, F. et al. 2019. Integrating ecosystem services supply and demand into optimized management at different scales: A case study in Hulunbuir, China. *Ecosystem Services*, Volume 39, October 2019, 100984., [@2019 Линк](#)
497. Chen, C. et al. 2019. Ecosystem services mapping in practice: A Pasteur's quadrant perspective. *Ecosystem Services*, Volume 40, December 2019, 101042., [@2019 Линк](#)
498. Aiba, M. et al. 2019. The seasonal and scale-dependent associations between vegetation quality and hiking activities as a recreation service. *Sustainable Science* (2019) 14: 119., [@2019 Линк](#)
499. Sun, R. et al. 2019. A demand index for recreational ecosystem services associated with urban parks in Beijing, China. *Journal of Environmental Management*, Volume 251, 1 December 2019, 109612., [@2019 Линк](#)
500. Guan, Q. et al. 2019. Ecological indexes for the analysis of the spatial-temporal characteristics of ecosystem service supply and demand: A case study of the major grain-producing regions in Quzhou, China. *Ecological Indicators*, Volume 108, January 2020, 105748., [@2019 Линк](#)
501. Wen, X., Théau, J. 2019. Assessment of ecosystem services in restoration programs in China: A systematic review. *Ambio* (2019), pp 1-9., [@2019 Линк](#)
502. Baba, C., Hack, J. et al. 2019. Economic valuation of ecosystem services for the sustainable management of agropastoral dams. A case study of the Sakabansi dam, northern Benin. *Ecological Indicators*, Volume 107, December 2019, 105648., [@2019 Линк](#)
503. de Lima, L. et al. 2019. Will PES Schemes Survive in the Long-term Without Evidence of Their Effectiveness? Exploring Four Water-

- related Cases in Colombia. Ecological Economics, Volume 156, February 2019, Pages 211-223., [@2019 Линк](#)
504. Amini Parsa, V. et al. 2019. An improved method for assessing mismatches between supply and demand in urban regulating ecosystem services: A case study in Tabriz, Iran. PLoS ONE 14 (8): e0220750., [@2019 Линк](#)
505. Marais, Z. et al. 2019. A Natural Capital Approach to Agroforestry Decision-Making at the Farm Scale. Forests 2019, 10 (11), 1.000 980., [@2019 Линк](#)
506. Charoenkit, S., Piyathamrongchai, K. 2019. A review of urban green spaces multifunctionality assessment: A way forward for a 1.000 standardized assessment and comparability. Ecological Indicators, Volume 107, December 2019, 105592., [@2019 Линк](#)
507. Lovett, A. 2019. Economic Valuation of Services. In: von Haaren C., Lovett A., Albert C. (eds) Landscape Planning with Ecosystem 1.000 Services. Landscape Series, vol 24. Springer, Dordrecht., [@2019 Линк](#)
508. Rioux, J-F. et al. 2019. How Land Cover Spatial Resolution Affects Mapping of Urban Ecosystem Service Flows. Frontiers in 1.000 Environmental Science 7:93., [@2019 Линк](#)
509. Kalfas, D. et al. 2019. The multifunctionality of the natural environment through the basic ecosystem services in the Florina region, 1.000 Greece. International Journal of Sustainable Development & World Ecology, Volume 26, Pages 57-68., [@2019 Линк](#)
510. Aneseyee, A. et al. 2019. The effect of land use/land cover changes on ecosystem services valuation of Winike watershed, Omo Gibe 1.000 basin, Ethiopia, Human and Ecological Risk Assessment: An International Journal, 1-20., [@2019 Линк](#)
511. Kuckertz, A. 2019. Let's take the entrepreneurial ecosystem metaphor seriously! Journal of Business Venturing Insights, Volume 11, 1.000 June 2019, e00124., [@2019 Линк](#)
512. Lindqvist, A. et al. 2019. Bio-Based Production Systems: Why Environmental Assessment Needs to Include Supporting Systems. 1.000 Sustainability 2019, 11 (17), 4678., [@2019 Линк](#)
513. Pechanec, V. et al. 2019. What is the Development Capacity for Provision of Ecosystem Services in the Czech Republic? 1.000 Sustainability 2019, 11 (16), 4273., [@2019 Линк](#)
514. Mengist, W., Soromessa, T. Assessment of forest ecosystem service research trends and methodological approaches at global level: 1.000 a meta-analysis. Environmental Systems Research (2019) 8: 22., [@2019 Линк](#)
515. Salata, S. et al. 2019. The utilization of ecosystem services mapping in land use planning: the experience of LIFE SAM4CP project. 1.000 Journal of Environmental Planning and Management, 1-23., [@2019 Линк](#)
516. Liang, Y. et al. 2020. Impact Assessment of LUCC on Ecosystem Services. In: Integrated Modelling of Ecosystem Services and 1.000 Land-Use Change, pp 169-182. Springer Geography. Springer, Singapore., [@2019 Линк](#)
517. Le Clec'h, S. et al. 2019. Uncertainty in ecosystem services maps: the case of carbon stocks in the Brazilian Amazon forest using 1.000 regression analysis. One Ecosystem 4: e28720., [@2019 Линк](#)
518. Maebe, L. et al. 2019. The critical role of abiotic factors and human activities in the supply of ecosystem services in the ES matrix. 1.000 One Ecosystem 4: e34769., [@2019 Линк](#)
519. Benez-Secanho, F., Dwivedi, P. 2019. Does Quantification of Ecosystem Services Depend Upon Scale (Resolution and Extent)? A 1.000 Case Study Using the InVEST Nutrient Delivery Ratio Model in Georgia, United States. Environments 2019, 6 (5), 52., [@2019 Линк](#)
520. Rutebuka, E. et al. 2019. Quantitative Review of Ecosystem Services and Disse-rvices Studies in the Tropics. Open Journal of 1.000 Ecology, 9, 85-106., [@2019 Линк](#)
521. Giaimo, C., Salata, S. 2019. Ecosystem Services Assessment Methods for Integrated Processes of Urban Planning. The Experience 1.000 of LIFE SAM4CP Towards Sustainable and Smart Communities. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science 290 (2019) 012116., [@2019 Линк](#)
522. Liang, Y. et al. 2020. Integrated Modelling of Ecosystem Services and Land-Use Change. Case Studies of Northwestern Region of 1.000 China. Springer Geography. Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd., [@2020 Линк](#)
8. Kuhleman, J., Gachev, E., Gikov, A., **Nedkov, S.**, Krumrei, I., Kubik, P.. Glaciation in the Rila mountains (Bulgaria) during the Last Glacial Maximum. Quaternary International, 293, 2013, ISSN:ISSN: 1040-6182, DOI:doi:10.1016/j.quaint.2012.06.027, 51-62. ISI IF:2.062
- Цитира се в:
523. Dar, R. A. et al. Glacial-geomorphic study of the Thajwas glacier valley, Kashmir Himalayas, India. Quaternary International Volume 1.000 444, Part A, 15 July 2017, Pages 157-171, [@2017 Линк](#)
524. Telbisz et al. 2018. Notable Glaciokarsts of the World. Glaciokarsts pp 373-499, [@2018 Линк](#)
525. Oliva et al. 2018. Permafrost conditions in the Mediterranean region since the Last Glaciation. Earth-Science Reviews Volume 185, 1.000 October 2018, Pages 397-436, [@2018 Линк](#)
526. Baroni et al.2018. Last glacial maximum glaciers in the Northern Apennines reflect primarily the influence of southerly storm-tracks in 1.000 the western Mediterranean. Quaternary Science Reviews Volume 197, 1 October 2018, Pages 352-367, [@2018 Линк](#)
527. Styllas et al. 2018.Late-glacial and Holocene history of the northeast Mediterranean mountain glaciers - New insights from in situ-produced 36Cl-based cosmic ray exposure dating of paleo-glacier deposits on Mount Olympus, Greece. Quaternary Science Reviews Volume 193, 1 August 2018, Pages 244-265, [@2018 Линк](#)
528. Makos et al. 2018. Last Glacial Maximum and Lateglacial in the Polish High Tatra Mountains - Revised deglaciation chronology 1.000 based on the 10Be exposure age dating. Quaternary Science Reviews Volume 187, 1 May 2018, Pages 130-156, [@2018 Линк](#)

529. Marks et al. 2018. Late Pleistocene climate of Poland in the mid-European context. Quaternary International Available online 7 May 1.000 2018, @2018 [Линк](#)
530. Groming et al. 2018. Evidence for a Younger Dryas deglaciation in the Galicica Mountains (FYROM) from cosmogenic 36Cl. 1.000 Quaternary International Volume 464, Part B, 15 January 2018, Pages 352-363, @2018 [Линк](#)
-

2014

9. Boyanova, K., **Nedkov, S.**, Burkhard, B.. Quantification and Mapping of Flood Regulating Ecosystem Services in Different Watersheds – Case Studies in Bulgaria and Arizona, USA. Bandrova, T., Konechy, M., Zlatanova, S. (eds.), Thematic Cartography for the Society, Lecture Notes in Geoinformation and Cartography,, Springer, 2014, 18, 237-255

Цитира се в:

531. Greiner, L. et al. Soil function assessment: review of methods for quantifying the contributions of soils to ecosystem services. Land 1.000 Use Policy Volume 69, 2017, @2017 [Линк](#)

10. Alkemade, R., Burkhard, B., Crossman, N., **Nedkov, S.**, Petz, K.. Quantifying ecosystem services and indicators for science, policy and practice. Ecological Indicators, 37, Elsevier, 2014, ISSN:1470-160X, DOI:doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2013.11.014, 161-162. SJR:1.49, ISI IF:3.19

Цитира се в:

532. Wei, H. et al. Integrating supply and social demand in ecosystem services assessment: A review. Ecosystem Services Volume 25, 1.000 June 2017, Pages 15-27, @2017 [Линк](#)

533. Balzan, M. et al. Assessing the capacity and flow of ecosystem services in multifunctional landscapes: evidence of a rural-urban 1.000 gradient in a Mediterranean small island state. Quantitative Biology. 2017., @2017 [Линк](#)

534. Wei et al. 2018. Linking ecosystem services supply, social demand and human well-being in a typical mountain–oasis–desert area, 1.000 Xinjiang, China. Ecosystem Services Volume 31, Part A, June 2018, Pages 44-57, @2018 [Линк](#)

535. Balzan et al. 2018. Assessing the capacity and flow of ecosystem services in multifunctional landscapes: Evidence of a rural-urban 1.000 gradient in a Mediterranean small island state. Land Use Policy Volume 75, June 2018, Pages 711-725, @2018

536. Yang et al. 2018. Development of a new framework for non-monetary accounting on ecosystem services valuation. Ecosystem 1.000 Services Volume 34, Part A, December 2018, Pages 37-54, @2018 [Линк](#)

537. Ahmad et al. 2018. Optimizing stand structure for trade-offs between overstory timber production and understory plant diversity: A 1.000 case-study of a larch plantation in northwest China. Land Degradation & Development Volume 29, Issue 9., @2018 [Линк](#)

538. Vasu et al. 2018. A comparative assessment of land suitability evaluation methods for agricultural land use planning at village level. 1.000 Land Use Policy Volume 79, December 2018, Pages 146-163, @2018 [Линк](#)

539. Böck K., Polt R., Schüting L. (2018) Ecosystem Services in River Landscapes. In: Schmutz S., Sendzimir J. (eds) Riverine 1.000 Ecosystem Management. Aquatic Ecology Series, vol 8. Springer, Cham, @2018 [Линк](#)
-

2015

11. **Nedkov, S.**, Boyanova, K., Burkhard, B.. Quantifying, modelling and mapping ecosystem services in watersheds. Ecosystem Services and River Basin Ecohydrology, Springer, 2015, ISBN:ISBN: 978-94-017-984, 17, 133-149

Цитира се в:

540. Papparaldo et al. 2017. The potential of green infrastructure application in urban runoff control for land use planning: A preliminary 1.000 evaluation from a southern Italy case study. Ecosystem Services, Volume 26, Part B, August 2017, Pages 345-354, 2017, @2017 [Линк](#)

541. Wang et al. Ecosystem service synergies/trade-offs informing the supply-demand match of ecosystem services: Framework and 1.000 application. Ecosystem Services Volume 37, June 2019, 100939, @2019 [Линк](#)

542. Malherbe et al. Mapping the Loss of Ecosystem Services in a Region Under Intensive Land Use Along the Southern Coast of South 1.000 Africa. Land 2019, 8(3), 51; <https://doi.org/10.3390/land8030051>, @2019 [Линк](#)
-

2016

12. Zhiyanski, M., Gikov, A., **Nedkov, S.**, Dimitrov, P., Naydenova, L.. Mapping carbon sequestration using land cover/land use data in area of Beklemeto, Central Balkan. Sustainable Mountain Regions: Challenges and Perspectives in Southeastern Europe, Springer, Springer, 2016, ISBN:ISBN 978-3-319-27903, 13, 53-65

Цитира се в:

543. Avetisyan, D., Velizarova, E., Nedkov, R., Borisova, D. "Assessment and mapping of the current state of the landscapes/ecosystems 1.000

13. Boyanova, K., **Nedkov, S.**, Burkhard, B.. Applications of GIS-based Hydrological Models in Mountain Areas in Bulgaria for Ecosystem Services Assessment – Issues and Advantages. Sustainable Mountain Regions: Challenges and Perspectives in Southeastern Europe, Springer, 2016, ISBN:ISBN 978-3-319-27903, 16, 35-51

Цитира се в:

544. Huang et al. Land use/land cover changes and its impact on ecosystem services in ecologically fragile zone: A case study of 1.000 Zhangjiakou City, Hebei Province, China., [@2019](#) [Линк](#)

2017

14. Palomo, I, Bagstad, K., **Nedkov, S.**, Klug, H., Adamescu, M., Cazacu, K.. Tools for mapping ecosystem services. MAPPING ECOSYSTEM SERVICES, Pensoft, 2017, ISBN:9789546428523, 70-75

Цитира се в:

545. Marchetti M., Vizzarri M., Sallustio L. (2019) Towards Countryside Revival: Reducing Impacts of Urban Expansion on Land Benefits. 1.000 In: Gottero E. (eds) Agrourbanism. GeoJournal Library, vol 124. Springer, Cham, [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
546. Rega C. (2019) Towards and Effective Implementation of Green Infrastructure in Rural Areas. Challenges and Options for a 1.000 Substantial Integration with Spatial Planning. In: Gottero E. (eds) Agrourbanism. GeoJournal Library, vol 124. Springer, Cham, [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
547. Qiao et al. Simplifying the deployment of OGC web processing services (WPS) for environmental modelling – Introducing Tethys 1.000 WPS Server. Environmental Modelling & Software Volume 115, May 2019, Pages 38-50, [@2019](#) [Линк](#)

15. **Nedkov, S.**, Miglena Zhiyanski, Stelian Dimitrov, Bilyana Borisova, Anton Popov, **Ivo Ihtimanski**, Rositsa Yaneva, **Petar Nikolov**, Svetla Bratanova Doncheva. Mapping and assessment of urban ecosystem condition and services using integrated index of spatial structure. One Ecosystem, Pensoft, 2017, ISSN:2367-8194, DOI:doi.org/10.3897/oneeco.2.e14499

Цитира се в:

548. Sanchez-Porras, et al. 2018. Evaluation of the Potential Change to the Ecosystem Service Provision Due to Industrialization. 1.000 Sustainability 2018, 10(9), 3355; <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10093355>, [@2018](#) [Линк](#)
549. Kukulska-Kozlet et al. 2019. Towards three decades of spatial development transformation in two contrasting post-Soviet cities— 1.000 Kraków and Budapest. Land Use Policy Volume 85, June 2019, Pages 328-339, [@2019](#) [Линк](#)

2018

16. Burkhard B, Maes J, Potchin-Young MB, Santos-Martin F, Geneletti D, Stoev P, Kooperoinen L, Adamescu M, Adem Esmail B, Arani I, Barton DN, van Beukering P, **Nedkov S, Nikolova M**, Mapping and assessing ecosystem services in the EU - Lessons learned from the ESMERALDA approach of integration. One Ecosystem, Pensoft, 2018, ISSN:2367-8194, DOI:10.3897/oneeco.3.e29153

Цитира се в:

550. Urban Ecosystem Services Quantification through Remote Sensing Approach: A Systematic Review, [@2019](#) 1.000
551. Strategic Green Infrastructure and Ecosystem Restoration: geospatial methods, data and tools, [@2019](#) 1.000

17. **Nedkov, S.**, Zhiyanski, M., Borisiva, B., Bratanova-Doncheva, S.. Mapping and assessment of ecosystem condition and ecosystem services across different scales and domains in Europe. One Ecosystem, 3: e29288, Pensoft, 2018, ISSN:2367-8194, DOI:doi.org/10.3897/oneeco.3.e29288

Цитира се в:

552. Kilonzi FM, Ota T (2019) Ecosystem service preferences across multilevel stakeholders in co-managed forests: Case of Aberdare 1.000 protected forest ecosystem in Kenya. One Ecosystem 4: e36768. <https://doi.org/10.3897/oneeco.4.e36768>, [@2019](#) [Линк](#)

18. Burkhard, B., Santos, F., **Nedkov, S.**, Maes, J.. An operational framework for integrated Mapping and Assessment of Ecosystems and their Services (MAES). One Ecosystem, Pensoft, 2018, ISSN:2367-8194, DOI:doi: 10.3897/oneeco.3.e22831

Цитира се в:

553. Kokkoris et al. 2019. Integrating MAES implementation into protected area management under climate change: A fine-scale 1.000 application in Greece. Science of The Total Environment Volume 695, [@2019](#) [Линк](#)
554. Rutgers, et al. 2019. Mapping Soil Biodiversity in Europe and the Netherlands. oil Syst. 2019, 3(2), 39., [@2019](#) [Линк](#) 1.000

19. Nedkov, S., Borisova, B., Koulov, B., Zhiyanski, M., Bratanova-Doncheva, S., Nikolova, M., Kroumova, J.. Towards integrated mapping and assessment of ecosystems and their services in Bulgaria: The Central Balkan case study. One Ecosystem, 3: e25428, Pensoft, 2018, DOI:doi: 10.3897/oneeco.3.e25428

Цитира се в:

555. Geneletti D, Adem Esmail B, Cortinovis C (2018) Identifying representative case studies for ecosystem services mapping and assessment across Europe. One Ecosystem 3: e25382. WoS referenced, no SJR, @2018 [Линк](#)
556. Burkhart, B., Maes, J., Potschin-Young, M., Santos-Martín, F., Julian, G. et al. Mapping and assessing ecosystem services in the EU- Lessons learned from the ESMERALDA approach of integration. 2018. One Ecosystem 3: e29153. WoS referenced, no SJR, @2018 [Линк](#)
557. Yaneva, R., M. Zhiyanski, I. Markoff, M. Sokolovska, S. Nedkov. Assessment and mapping the dynamics of soil properties in selected forest stands from the region of Central Balkan National Park in the context of ecosystem services. 2018. DOI: 10.3897/oneeco.3: e23156. WoS referenced, no SJR, @2018 [Линк](#)
558. Santos-Martín, F., Geneletti D, Burkhart, B. Mapping and assessing ecosystem services: Methods and practical applications ESMERALDA. The American Naturalist. 2019. SJR: 2.531 (2018), Q1., @2019 [Линк](#)

26.06.2020

ПОДПИС:

(С. Недков)